

2020 Stop and Search Annual Report

On at least an annual basis, NOPD shall issue a report summarizing the stop and search data collected, the analysis of that data, and the steps taken to correct problems and build on successes. The report shall be made publicly available. [Consent Decree ¶153]

The purpose of this report is to summarize and analyze the stop and search data collected for the past year. The report answers several key questions:

- 1) How many stops occurred in 2020? And, how have these stops varied by neighborhood, race/ethnicity of the subject, sex of the subject, type of subject (driver, passenger, or pedestrian), age of the subject, time of day, and type of stop?
- 2) What was the result of the stop (arrest, Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion, citation, summons, warning, or no action), and how did that vary based upon the race, sex, and age of the subject?
- 3) Did a search occur? What type of search occurred? How did that action vary based upon the race, sex, and age of the subject?
- 4) Was contraband seized? How did that action vary based upon the race, sex, and age of the subject?

The data are displayed in graphics throughout the report and in the appendices. For comparison, visit <https://nola.gov/nopd/nopd-consent-decree/> to view the Stop and Search Annual Report from previous years.

Key Definitions

Age – Age of the subject is based on the subject’s date of birth or apparent age, if the subject refuses to provide information or the officer cannot legally demand identification.

Contraband – Items which are illegally possessed. This includes the following:

- a) **Drugs** – any substance defined, enumerated, or included in federal or state criminal statute or regulations, 21 CFR Chapter 1308.11-15 or La. R.S. 40:964, or any substance which may hereafter be designated as a controlled dangerous substance by amendment or supplementation of such regulations or statute. The term shall not include distilled spirits, wine, malt beverages, or tobacco;
- b) **Weapons** – includes any items that are illegally possessed which, in the manner used, is calculated or likely to produce death or great bodily harm. Usually a firearm or edged weapon for Field Interview Card purposes;
- c) **Other** – any other item that is not a drug or a weapon which is illegally possessed.

Field Interview Card (FIC) – The method NOPD utilizes to document official Stops/Terry Stops and other discretionary interactions with members of the public. To conduct a field interview, an officer must have reasonable suspicion that the subject has been, is, or is about to be, engaged in the commission of a crime. According to departmental policy, only one FIC entry should be made per incident.

Sex – sex of the subject is entered by the officer and is based on the officer's observation, if not provided to the officer by the subject.

Neighborhood – Neighborhoods are defined using the neighborhood boundaries disseminated by The Data Center (datacenterresearch.org).

Race/ethnicity – Race/ethnicity of the subject is entered by the officer and is based on the officer's observation.

Search – An inspection, examination, or viewing of persons, places, or items in which an individual has a legitimate expectation of privacy. The U.S. Constitution generally requires law enforcement to obtain a warrant prior to conducting a search. There are, however, limited exceptions to the warrant requirement, including the following types of searches:

- a) Consent to search – permission given to a law enforcement officer to search a person, vehicle or structure by one who has the legal right to do so;
- b) Exigent circumstances –A compelling urgency or true emergency that an officer can specifically describe not using vague terms or boilerplate language. Circumstances that cause a reasonable person to believe that prompt action is necessary to prevent injury to himself/herself or others.
- c) Incident to arrest – a search that takes place during or immediately after a physical arrest of a person who will be booked;
- d) Inventory – an administrative search conducted to itemize and identify property for safe- keeping;
- e) Plain view – if an officer sees an item that is immediately recognizable as contraband and they are in a place they have a legal right to be when the viewing is made, the item can be seized;
- f) Pat down/frisk - An external examination of the outer garments of an individual for the purpose of ensuring the individual does not possess any weapons. A pat down may only be performed when it is based on an officer's reasonable suspicion that the person is armed and dangerous and must be limited to what is necessary to detect weapons
- g) Vehicle Exception - Officers may search a vehicle without a search warrant if they have probable cause to believe that evidence or contraband is in the vehicle. The scope of

the search is limited to only the area that the officers have probable cause to search.

Stop—A brief, minimally intrusive detention of a subject, including pedestrians, bikers, and/or the occupants of a vehicle, during which a reasonable person in the subject's position would not feel free to leave, as defined in *Terry v. Ohio*, 392 U.S. 1 (1968).

Investigatory Stop—The temporary involuntary detention and questioning of a person and/or vehicle and its occupants to investigate potential criminal conduct. To conduct an investigatory stop, the officer must have reasonable suspicion that the individual or vehicle occupant has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in criminal conduct.

Vehicle stop—The involuntary detention of a motor vehicle and its occupants. Vehicle stops may be conducted (1) where there is probable cause to believe that the driver has committed a traffic violation or (2) where there is reasonable suspicion that a vehicle occupant has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in criminal conduct.

Stop result—A stop may end in any of the six manners listed below:

- a) No action – the stop ends with no enforcement action taken by the officer;
- b) Warning – the stop ends in only a verbal warning by the officer;
- c) Citation – the stop ends with the subject receiving a citation;
- d) Summons – the stop ends with the subject receiving a summons in lieu of a physical arrest;
- e) Arrest – the stop ends with the subject in the physical custody of the officer awaiting booking into a jail facility; or
- f) LEAD – (Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion) the stop ends with the subject, who could have been charged with a misdemeanor, booked into jail or issued a summons, and referred for prosecution, engaged instead by LEAD program staff (a program coordinator and case management team) working with the City's Health Department and a local service provider.

Event type—Field interview cards can be categorized into the different event types that describe the initial reason for the interaction. The event types available on the FIC are listed below:

- a) Call for service – the officer is dispatched by the Orleans Parish Communications District;
- b) Citizen contact – the officer initiates a duty-related conversation with a person;
- c) Criminal violation – the officer observes a violation of law other than a traffic violation;
- d) Flagged down – a person gains the officer's attention to report a problem or observation;
- e) Juvenile violation – the officer observes a juvenile violating the law;
- f) Present at crime scene – while on the scene of a crime, the officer initiates a duty-related conversation with a person;
- g) Subject person – the officer initiates a duty related conversation with a person who is a subject in a criminal violation;

- h) Subject vehicle – the officer initiates a duty related conversation with a person who is in a vehicle that is present or involved in a criminal violation;
- i) Traffic violation – the officer observes a violation of a traffic offense; and
- j) Other – any other stop or detention by an officer.

Relevant policies

The following approved policies govern NOPD's actions with respect to stops, searches, and arrests:

- Search and Seizure – Chapter 1.2.4
- Terry Stops and Investigatory Stops – Chapter 1.2.4.1
- Search Warrant Consent Forms and Reviews – Chapter 1.2.4.2
- Vehicle Stops – Chapter 1.2.4.3
- Traffic Citations – Chapter 61.3
- Handcuffing and Restraint Devices – Chapter 1.3.1.1
- Evidence and Property – Chapter 84.1
- Arrests and Miranda Rights – Chapter 1.9 and Chapter 1.9.1
- Arrest Warrant Wanted Persons – Chapter 1.9.2
- Alternatives to Arrest – Chapter 1.2.6
- Alternatives to Arrest – Sobering Center – Chapter 1.2.7
- Field Interview Cards – Chapter 41.12
- Juveniles – Chapter 44.2
- Juvenile Warning Notice and Summons – Chapter 44.3
- Temporary Custody of Juveniles – Chapter 44.1.4

NOPD policies are available at nola.gov/nopd/policies.

Year-to-Year comparisons

As NOPD develops new policies and updates forms, the protocols governing stops, searches, and arrests may change. As a result, the underlying data and what they represent will change as well. This may present difficulty in conducting direct comparisons between 2020 and prior years, or subsequent years. Nevertheless, many comparisons between the 2015 through 2020 data are given below.

District Attorney Acceptance Rates

In 2020, the district attorney refused four cases because of the officer's actions. The four cases accounted for 8 arrests, 0.1% of the 6,765 arrests in 2020. For three of the cases the DA used the refusal code "Incomplete Police Investigation" and for one they used "No probable cause for arrest." To address these refusals, NOPD reviewed the four cases and conducted redirections and additional trainings with the involved officers and their supervisors for three of the cases.

Allegations of Bias

Misconduct complaints involving discrimination are investigated and assessed according to Chapter 41.13 – Bias Free Policing and other related policies such as Chapter 41.13.1 – Interactions with LGBTQ Persons. A complaint is any allegation of misconduct committed by any NOPD employee that is reported by any person, including any NOPD employee. Table 1 below shows one allegation of discrimination or bias was sustained between 2015 and 2020. The employee resigned while under investigation.

Table 1: Allegations of Bias by Disposition and Year

Disposition	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Sustained	0	0	0	0	0	1
Pending (under investigation)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exonerated	5	8	0	1	1	0
Not sustained	4	5	2	4	3	3
No formal investigation merited	0	0	1	0	0	0
Unfounded	23	16	25	21	12	8
DI-2 (Counseling)	0	0	1	0	0	0
Cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	2
Total	33	29	29	26	17	14

*For definitions of allegation dispositions, see Chapter 26.2: Adjudication of Misconduct, available at nola.gov/nopd/policies.

The number of discrimination and bias-based allegations over the past five years has been relatively consistent with 2020 showing a decrease (12 down from 17 in 2019). Over the same time period, NOPD has made a concerted effort toward transparency and public awareness of the processes to file complaints of NOPD misconduct, as well as how to submit commendations for outstanding examples of police work. Placards, brochures, and forms detailing the complaint and commendation process have been made available to each District Station, NOPD Headquarters, City Hall, the office of the Independent Police Monitor, and New Orleans’ public libraries. This information has been transcribed in English, Spanish, and Vietnamese to provide all New Orleans residents and visitors a way to contact the NOPD regarding positive and/or negative experiences.

It is also worth noting that the majority of allegations of discrimination and bias-based policing receive a final disposition of “Unfounded.” According to NOPD policy, the Unfounded disposition is used in cases in which “the investigation determines, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the alleged misconduct did not occur or did not involve the subject employee.” The disposition “Not sustained” means the investigator or hearing officer was unable to determine, by a preponderance of the evidence, whether alleged misconduct occurred.

PIB investigates allegations of criminal misconduct against NOPD officers and civilian employees, and PIB shares the investigation of violations of administrative regulations with first-line supervisors. In order to hold first-line supervisors accountable and ensure their involvement in complaints against their subordinates, many administrative complaints are forwarded to division commanders through their bureau chiefs for investigation. These investigations are reviewed through that bureau’s chain of command, then ultimately by PIB and the Superintendent of Police. To learn more about NOPD’s misconduct complaint process, or the nature of NOPD complaints in previous years, you can find the complaint data and annual reports at nola.gov/nopd/nopd-consent-decree.

Psychological Evaluations of Police Officer Candidates

NOPD has a process for psychologically evaluating all candidates for commissioned positions. The psychological evaluation is one of the final evaluations and is administered to candidates who successfully pass all assessments, the background investigation, and are approved by the Recruitment and Applicant Investigation Administrator. The evaluation is administered by contracted third parties and follows national standards for police officer psychological screening.

The contracted psychologist reviews each applicant's background investigation packet, which includes, but is not limited to, investigation data about the applicant's legal, employment, military, traffic, and geographic history. Also included in the background investigation packet are the results from the computer voice stress analysis (CVSA) testing. The psychologist also reviews any other documents provided by the New Orleans Police Department (e.g., documents from the public integrity bureau), Civil Service (e.g., previous psychological reports) or the background investigation unit. Each applicant is administered computerized psychological testing and after testing, has a face-to-face interview with the psychologist. The psychologist may also conduct interviews with background investigators and/or prior NOPD supervisors, if applicable, in order to glean more information about a candidate, or to corroborate candidates' statements. Information is never disclosed to collateral interviewees. The psychologist may also request records from previous mental health professionals, including military mental health records.


The psychologist's screening methods assess social biases, among many traits that may predict the applicant's ability to perform law enforcement duties in an acceptable manner.

In 2020, 20 applicants failed the psychological evaluation and were therefore not hired.

Relevant Geographic Area

All data presented in this report and used for analysis are confined to Orleans Parish. Figure 2 shows a neighborhood reference map of New Orleans.¹

Figure 1 - Neighborhoods in New Orleans




¹ New Orleans and Orleans Parish refer to the same geographic area.

Field Interview Card Analysis

In 2020, NOPD completed 14,364 Field Interview Cards (FIC) documenting stops, searches, arrests, the use of naloxone kits, and Law Enforcement Assisted Diversions (L.E.A.D), a 64% decrease from the 40,342 FICs recorded in 2019. The COVID-19 pandemic likely explains the decrease from 2019 to 2020. With lock-down enforcement, fewer drivers were on the road, including fewer tourists, and inspection sticker and registration violations were not enforced. The map shown in Figure 2 depicts how these FICs were distributed throughout the city. The Central Business District had the highest number of FICs, with 1,963, which is down from 4,418 the previous year. Central City came in second, with 1,642 FICs, also lower than its 2,979 total in 2019. The French Quarter had the third highest number of FICs in the city, with 1,084, down from 3,427 in 2019. The French Quarter and the Central Business District have a high concentration of non-residents, including tourists and commuters. Figure 2 shows the distribution of FICs completed in 2020 by neighborhood.


Figure 2 – Percentage of All FICs created in each New Orleans neighborhood, 2020



Time of day of FICs

In 2020, the largest portion of FICs were completed in the evening (6-10pm, 23%) and overnight (10pm-2am, 21%), with the afternoon (2-6pm, 19%) close behind. Officers completed the smallest portion of FICs in the morning (6-10am, 11%) and early morning (2-6am, 10%) hours.


Figure 3 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by time of day, 2015-2020



Race/Ethnicity of FIC Subjects

Figure 4 (see next page) gives the distribution of stops across races/ethnicities for 2015-2020. The distribution of stops across races/ethnicities in 2020 closely resembled the statistics of previous years. Black or African-American individuals represented 71% of all subjects documented on FICs, about the same as 2019 (72%). White (non-Hispanic) individuals represented 23% of all subjects documented on FICs, which was the same in 2019. FICs documenting Hispanic or Latinx, Asian, and American Indian and Alaskan native individuals showed no change, remaining at about 3%, 1%, and <1%, respectively in 2015 through 2020. Although the portion of stops of black or African-American individuals appears high, experts believe measures of resident population (i.e. census data) should not be used as a sole method of benchmarking the population at risk of being stopped. This is partly due to concerns that the census undercounts minorities, pedestrian and vehicular populations include a greater percentage of minorities than indicated by the census, and a large portion of drivers are not residents.²

Figure 4 – FIC Subjects in New Orleans by race/ethnicity of the subject, 2015-2020




² Analysis Group. 2005. Proposed Pedestrian and Motor Vehicle Stop Data Analyses Methodology Report. Los Angeles.

Sex of FIC Subjects

In 2020, males represented 69% of all subjects documented on FICs, a slight increase from 65% in 2019. Females represented 31% of all subjects documented on FICs, a slight decrease from 35% in 2019.


Figure 5 - Stops in New Orleans by sex of the subject, 2015-2020



Age of FIC Subjects

The percentage of stops of young adult subjects (ages 18 to 24) was about the same from 2015 (20%) through 2020 (19%). In 2020, the largest portion of stopped subjects, 39%, were between the ages of 35 and 64. Subjects between the ages of 25 and 34 represented 31% of all stops in 2020. In 2018, NOPD began documenting the demographic information of passengers, as required by the Consent Decree, which affected the percentage of subjects age 17 and under.

Figure 6 - Stops in New Orleans by age of the subject, 2015-2020



FICs for all combinations of Race/Ethnicity, Sex, and Age

In 2020, 20% of stops by NOPD officers were of black or African-American, males, ages 35-64.

Table 1: Stops for each Race, Sex, and Age Category, 2020


Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%
Black	Male	≤12	156	0.9%
Black	Male	13-17	550	3.1%
Black	Male	18-24	1,624	9.1%
Black	Male	25-34	2,483	13.9%
Black	Male	35-64	3,604	20.2%
Black	Male	65+	257	1.4%
Black	Female	≤12	113	0.6%
Black	Female	13-17	198	1.1%
Black	Female	18-24	948	5.3%
Black	Female	25-34	1,406	7.9%
Black	Female	35-64	1,328	7.4%
Black	Female	65+	70	0.4%
White	Male	≤12	7	0.0%
White	Male	13-17	63	0.4%
White	Male	18-24	406	2.3%
White	Male	25-34	872	4.9%
White	Male	35-64	1,414	7.9%
White	Male	65+	138	0.8%
White	Female	≤12	10	0.1%
White	Female	13-17	23	0.1%
White	Female	18-24	222	1.2%
White	Female	25-34	414	2.3%
White	Female	35-64	557	3.1%
White	Female	65+	62	0.3%
Hispanic	Male	≤12	8	0.0%
Hispanic	Male	13-17	20	0.1%
Hispanic	Male	18-24	118	0.7%
Hispanic	Male	25-34	144	0.8%
Hispanic	Male	35-64	190	1.1%
Hispanic	Male	65+	13	0.1%
Hispanic	Female	≤12	4	0.0%
Hispanic	Female	13-17	8	0.0%
Hispanic	Female	18-24	36	0.2%
Hispanic	Female	25-34	40	0.2%
Hispanic	Female	35-64	44	0.2%
Hispanic	Female	65+	4	0.0%
Asian	Male	≤12	-	0.0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%
Asian	Male	13-17	3	0.0%
Asian	Male	18-24	11	0.1%
Asian	Male	25-34	17	0.1%
Asian	Male	35-64	44	0.2%
Asian	Male	65+	9	0.1%
Asian	Female	≤12	-	0.0%
Asian	Female	13-17	1	0.0%
Asian	Female	18-24	6	0.0%
Asian	Female	25-34	9	0.1%
Asian	Female	35-64	15	0.1%
Asian	Female	65+	3	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	2	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	5	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	1	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	1	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	-	0.0%
Unknown	Male	≤12	7	0.0%
Unknown	Male	13-17	1	0.0%
Unknown	Male	18-24	23	0.1%
Unknown	Male	25-34	56	0.3%
Unknown	Male	35-64	37	0.2%
Unknown	Male	65+	11	0.1%
Unknown	Female	≤12	3	0.0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	1	0.0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	5	0.0%
Unknown	Female	25-34	10	0.1%
Unknown	Female	35-64	13	0.1%
Unknown	Female	65+	-	0.0%

FICs by Subject Type

In 2020, the largest portion of all stops (48%) involved vehicle drivers (down from 59% in 2019). Pedestrians represented 40% of all FICs (up from 25% in 2019), and vehicle passengers represented the remaining 12% (down from 15% in 2019). The COVID-19 pandemic likely explains the change in subject types from 2019 to 2020. With lock-down enforcement fewer drivers were on the road, including fewer tourists, brake tag and registration violations were not enforced, and pedestrian gatherings were disbanded. In 2018, NOPD began documenting the demographic information of passengers, as required by the Consent Decree, which affected the percentage of passengers recorded on FICs.


Figure 7 - Stops in New Orleans by subject type, 2015-2020



FICs by Race/Ethnicity of the Officer

For the purposes of this analysis, only officers listed as the primary officer on the FIC were considered. The percentage of FICs completed by black or African-American officers was 34% in 2020, about the same as in 2019. The percentage FICs completed by White officers was 53% in 2020, also about the same as in 2019. The demographic makeup of patrol officers, who produce the vast majority of FICs, can change over time as officers transition into and out of patrol assignments; this may contribute to the changes in the demographic distribution of officers completing FICs. The percentage of FICs completed by Hispanic or Latinx officers quadrupled from 2015 to 2018, increasing from 2% to 8%, and remained at 8% through 2020. From 2015 to 2018 the percentage of Hispanic or Latinx officers at NOPD grew from 2% to 4%, and then to 5% by 2020.

Figure 8 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by race/ethnicity of the officer, 2015-2020




*Data includes officers listed as primary officers on Field Interview Cards. Secondary officers are not included. FICs with data entry errors and those created by officers that have not specified their race/ethnicity are given as Unknown Race/Ethnicity.

Field interview cards by sex of the officer

Male officers accounted for 84% of all FICs in 2020, while female officers accounted for 15 percent. In 2020, 77% of NOPD officers were male and 23% were female.

Figure 9 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by sex of the officer, 2015-2020




*Data includes officers listed as primary officers on Field Interview Cards. Secondary officers are not included. FICs with mistyped employee IDs are given as Unknown Sex.

Field interview cards by age of the officer

From 2015-2020, the largest portion of stops were conducted by officers between the ages of 25 and 34. NOPD's focused recruitment efforts have brought many younger officers into the Department and undoubtedly contributed to this increase. While officers between the age of 25 and 34 make up 24% of the Department's officers, they are also most likely to be patrol officers, putting them in direct contact with residents and guests of New Orleans and, thus, more likely to complete FICs. While officers in the 35-44 year old range make up a larger percentage (32%) of the department than officers in the 25-34 range, they are more likely to have moved to specialized, non-patrol units or into supervisory roles, making it less likely that they would initiate FICs.

Figure 10 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by age of the officer, 2015-2020




*Data includes officers listed as primary officers on Field Interview Cards. Secondary officers are not included. FICs with mistyped employee IDs are given as Unknown Age.

Field interview cards by event type

FICs were most often completed during traffic violations, which accounted for 54% of all FICs in 2020, down from 71% in 2019. The next most frequent event types was “call for service” and “other” at 24%, followed by “suspect person” at 8% in 2020.

Figure 11 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by event type, 2015-2020



Stops by event type and race/ethnicity of subject

In 2020, the distribution of event types, or the initial reason for the interaction, was similar for black or African-American and white subjects. 52% of stops of black or African-American subjects began when the officer observed a traffic violation and 53% of stops of white subjects began the same way.

Table 2: Event Type and Race of Subject, 2020

	#	TV	CFS	CC	CV	FD	JV	PCS	SP	SV	O
Black or African-American	12,764	52%	24%	1%	7%	1%	1%	0%	9%	2%	3%
White	4,190	53%	25%	1%	7%	2%	0%	0%	7%	1%	3%
Hispanic or Latinx	632	60%	21%	2%	5%	1%	0%	1%	4%	2%	2%
Asian	118	69%	16%	3%	3%	2%	0%	0%	3%	3%	1%
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	9	78%	0%	0%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	171	77%	16%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	3%

*TF=Traffic Violation, CFS=Call for Service, CC=Citizen Contact, CV=Criminal Violation, FD=Flagged Down, JV=Juvenile Violation, PCS=Present at Crime Scene, SP=Suspect Person, SV=Suspect Vehicle, O=Other

Stops by event type and sex of subject

In 2020, 63% of stops of female subjects began as traffic violations, while 49% of stops of male subjects began the same way.

Table 3: Event type and Sex of Subject, 2020

	#	TV	CFS	CC	CV	FD	JV	PCS	SP	SV	O
Female	5,568	63%	21%	1%	5%	1%	1%	0%	4%	2%	2%
Male	12,316	49%	25%	2%	7%	2%	1%	0%	10%	2%	3%

*TF=Traffic Violation, CFS=Call for Service, CC=Citizen Contact, CV=Criminal Violation, FD=Flagged Down, JV=Juvenile Violation, PCS=Present at Crime Scene, SP=Suspect Person, SV=Suspect Vehicle, O=Other

Stops by event type and age of subject

In 2020, the distribution of event types for subjects ages 18-24, 25-34, and 35-64 were quite similar. Chapter 41.12 – Field Interview Cards requires NOPD officers to document the apparent demographics of all passengers in vehicles that have been stopped, which affected the portion of subjects aged less than or equal to 12, 65 or greater, and those of unknown age.

Table 4: Event type and Age of Subject, 2020


	#	TV	CFS	CC	CV	FD	JV	PCS	SP	SV	O
≤12 Yrs	281	79%	12%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	3%	1%	1%
13-17	731	17%	36%	4%	7%	1%	12%	0%	17%	2%	4%
18-24	3,313	53%	23%	1%	7%	1%	0%	0%	8%	3%	4%
25-34	5,452	57%	23%	1%	7%	2%	0%	0%	7%	2%	2%
35-64	7,485	52%	25%	1%	7%	2%	0%	0%	9%	1%	2%
65+	618	69%	18%	1%	3%	1%	0%	0%	5%	1%	2%
Unknown Age	4	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

*TV=Traffic Violation, CFS=Call for Service, CC=Citizen Contact, CV=Criminal Violation, FD=Flagged Down, JV=Juvenile Violation, PCS=Present at Crime Scene, SP=Suspect Person, SV=Suspect Vehicle, O=Other

Stops by stop result

In 2020, the most common stop result documented on FICs was “verbal warning” (32% of all stop results). The percentage of stop results that were “citation” decreased from 29% in 2019 to 18% in 2020. The percentage of stop results that were “no action taken” increased from 18% in 2019 to 23% in 2020. In January 2018, “Summons Issued” became a stop result option on the FIC. A summons is a citation in lieu of an arrest. Prior to “Summons Issued” being an option on the FIC, officers documented summonses as physical arrests on FICs.

Figure 12 - Stops in New Orleans by stop result, 2015-2020



*Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion (LEAD) and Summons Issued became options in the Stop Result section of the FIC in January 2018.

Stops by stop results and neighborhood

The three neighborhoods with the highest number of stops in 2020 were the same three as in 2019 and were Central Business District (1,963), followed by Central City (1,642), and the French Quarter (1,084). The two neighborhoods that accounted for the fourth and fifth highest numbers of stops in 2020 were Treme-Lafitte (774) and Mid-City (570). In 2019, the neighborhoods with the fourth and fifth highest number of stops were Mid-City and St. Roch, respectively. The five neighborhoods also had some of the highest rates of violent and property crime in 2020. The French Quarter and the Central Business District have a high concentration of non-residents, including tourists and commuters. As mentioned above, experts believe measures of resident population (i.e. census data) should not be used as a sole method of benchmarking the population at risk of being stopped.³ See the appendix for demographic data for each New Orleans neighborhood.

Stop results varied considerably across neighborhoods. Below, the top three neighborhoods are ranked for each of the six stop result categories by (a) the total number of stops in each category, and (b) the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood in each category.

Stops Ending with No Action

With respect to the total number of stops that ended in no action, the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: the Central Business District (384), the French Quarter (384), followed by Central City (244) and Treme-Lafitte (244). The top three neighborhoods the previous year, were the Central Business District, the French Quarter, and Central City.

With respect to the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood that ended in no action, the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: Navarre (40% of 64 stops), Black Pearl (40% of 28 stops), Lake Catherine (40% of 20 stops), West End (35% of 47 stops), Florida Area (35% of 43 stops), and the Lower Ninth Ward (32% of 183 stops).

Stops Ending with a Verbal Warning

With respect to the total number of stops that ended in a verbal warning, the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: Central City (627), the Central Business District (557), and Treme-Lafitte (341). Treme-Lafitte was not in the top three in 2019.

With respect to the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood that ended in a verbal warning, the top three were: Leonidas (53% of 429 stops), Marlyville-Fontainbleau (50% of 242 stops), and East Carrollton (49% of 49 stops).

Stops Ending with a Citation

With respect to the total number of stops that ended in a citation, the following neighborhoods

³ Analysis Group. 2005. Proposed Pedestrian and Motor Vehicle Stop Data Analyses Methodology Report. Los Angeles.

ranked in the top three: the Central Business District (466), Central City (294) and Behrman (151). The French Quarter was in the top three in 2019.

With respect to the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood that ended in a citation, the top three neighborhoods were as follows: Lakewood (69% of 59 stops), St. Thomas Development (54% of 183 stops), and Filmore (36% of 152 stops).

Stops Ending with a Summons

With respect to the total number of stops that ended in a summons, the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: the Central Business District (273), the French Quarter (250), and Central City (168). The same neighborhoods were the top three in 2019.

With respect to the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood that ended in a summons, the top three neighborhoods are as follows: City Park (37% of 100 stops), Lake Terrace & Oaks (36% of 70 stops), Dillard (23% of 148 stops), and the French Quarter (23% of 1,084 stops).

Stops Ending with a Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion (LEAD)

With respect to the total number of stops that ended in a LEAD, the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: the Central Business District (4), the French Quarter (2), the Marigny (2), and Iberville (1).

With respect to the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood that ended in a LEAD, the top three neighborhoods are as follows: Iberville (1.04% of 94 stops), the Marigny (1.01% of 195 stops), and Bayou St. John (0.98% of 99 stops).

Stops Ending with an Arrest

With respect to the total number of stops that ended in an arrest, the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: the Central Business District (317), Central City (256), and the French Quarter (229). The same three neighborhoods were the top three in 2019.

With respect to the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood that ended in an arrest, the rankings for the top three neighborhoods were as follows: the Florida Development (100% of 1 stop), St. Anthony (34% of 79 stops), and Little Woods (34% of 374 stops).

Stops by stop results and race/ethnicity of the subject

The distribution of stop results within races/ethnicities varied in 2020. 17% of stops of black or African-American individuals ended in arrest in 2019, while 12% of stops of white individuals ended in arrest. 30% of stops of black or African-American individuals ended in a verbal warning in 2020, and 37% of stops of white individuals ended in a verbal warning.

Table 5: Stops in New Orleans by stop result and race/ethnicity of the subject, 2020

	#	Citation Issued	Verbal Warning	Physical Arrest	No Action Taken	Summons Issued	L.E.A.D
Black or African- American	13,124	18%	30%	17%	24%	11%	0.0%
White	4,232	17%	37%	12%	21%	12%	0.2%
Hispanic or Latinx	642	24%	33%	14%	22%	8%	0.0%
Asian	121	28%	37%	10%	16%	9%	0.0%
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	9	44%	22%	22%	11%	0%	0.0%
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	171	37%	32%	6%	19%	7%	0.0%

Stops by stop result and sex of the subject

The distributions of stop results within sexes were similar in 2020. The biggest difference was 17% of stops of male subjects resulted in arrest compared to 11% of stops of female subjects.

Table 6: Stops in New Orleans by stop result and sex of the subject, 2020

	#	Citation Issued	Verbal Warning	Physical Arrest	No Action Taken	Summons Issued	L.E.A.D
FEMALE	5,666	20%	34%	11%	24%	11%	0.07%
MALE	12,633	18%	31%	17%	22%	12%	0.06%

Stops by stop result and age of the subject

The distribution of stop results within age groups varied in 2020. Four percent of stops of subjects aged 13-17 ended in citations, compared to 22% of stops of subjects aged 65 and older. About 15% of stops of subjects aged 18-24, 25-34, and 35-64 resulted in arrest in 2020.

Table 7: Stop results in New Orleans by age of the subject, 2020


Subject Age Category	#	Citation Issued	Verbal Warning	Physical Arrest	No Action Taken	Summons Issued	L.E.A.D
≤12 Yrs	281	1%	13%	3%	81%	1%	0.00%
13-17	730	4%	37%	19%	38%	1%	0.00%
18-24	3,394	16%	30%	14%	26%	14%	0.03%
25-34	5,628	20%	31%	16%	21%	11%	0.16%
35-64	7,642	19%	33%	16%	20%	12%	0.01%
65+	620	22%	44%	7%	19%	8%	0.16%
Unknown Age	4	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0.00%

See Appendix 2 for stop results for every combination of race, sex, and age group.

Percent of field interview cards indicating a search occurred

In 2020, a person or vehicle search occurred during 31% (4,441 of 14,364 FICs) of instances in which an FIC was reported. This is an increase from 23% (9,182 of 40,342) in 2019.

Figure 13 – Percent of field interview cards that indicate a search occurred, 2015-2020




*Person and vehicle searches included.

Total searches indicated on field interview cards

Although the percent of field interview cards (FIC) that indicated a search occurred increased in 2020, as indicated in Figure 13, the number of searches indicated on FICs continued a downward trend from 25,757 in 2017 to 6,401 in 2020.


Figure 14 – Total searches indicated on field interview cards, 2015-2020



Total pat downs searches indicated on field interview cards

The number of pat downs indicated on field interview cards continued a downward trend from 12,147 in 2017 to 1,231 in 2020.

Figure 15 – Total pat downs indicated on field interview cards, 2015-2020




Types of searches in 2020

The most common searches documented on FICs in 2020 were searches incident to arrest (62%) and pat downs (22%). Searches conducted incident to arrest or as the result of a warrant are considered “non-discretionary,” meaning NOPD policy dictates officers must perform searches. Similarly, searches documented as plain view also indicate the subject is most likely under arrest because the officer found contraband in plain view. Searches under exigent circumstances, by consent, and pat downs are considered “discretionary,” meaning they are initiated by an officer.

FICs indicate approximately 74% of all searches in 2020 were non-discretionary.⁴ In 2018, NOPD began addressing the practice of officers incorrectly calling searches pat downs when they use an outer-garment search technique. A large portion of such searches are conducted after the subject is under arrest and are technically searches incident to arrest. This helps explain why the portion of searches that were pat downs generally decreased from 47% in 2017 to 22% in 2020, and the portion that were searches incident to arrest generally increased from 33% in 2017 to 62% in 2020. See appendix 3 for frequencies for all search types for all demographic segments.

Figure 16 - Searches in New Orleans by reason for search, 2015-2020



*Vehicle Exception and Plain Smell became options on the FIC in January 2018.

⁴ For the purposes of this analysis, search types Incident to Arrest, Inventory, Plain View, Warrant, and Plain Smell were considered non-discretionary; and search types Consent, Exigent Circumstances, Vehicle Exception, and Pat Down were considered discretionary.

Discretionary Search rates of subjects

Searches conducted incident to arrest or as the result of a warrant are considered “non-discretionary,” meaning NOPD policy dictates officers must perform searches. Similarly, searches documented as plain view also indicate the subject is most likely under arrest because the officer found contraband in plain view. Searches under exigent circumstances, by consent, and pat downs are considered “discretionary,” meaning they are initiated by an officer. See appendix 3 for frequencies of all search types and demographic segments. FICs indicate that 8% of stopped subjects were searched with a discretionary search in 2020, up from 4% in 2019 and down from 12% in 2018. Vehicle searches were not included in this analysis.

Table 8: Discretionary Search Rates, 2015-2020

	No Discretionary Search	Discretionary Search
2015	20,934 (83%)	4,303 (17%)
2016	35,559 (80%)	8,954 (20%)
2017	43,032 (78%)	12,468 (22%)
2018	52,824 (88%)	6,998 (12%)
2019	47,921 (96%)	2,023 (4%)
2020	16,426 (92%)	1,458 (8%)

*NOPD implemented a supervisor approval process for FICs in May 2015. Supervisors review FICs for accuracy and completeness. This report shows data from FICs that have been approved by a supervisor.

FICs indicate officers conducted discretionary searches on 9% of the black or African-American subjects they stopped, and 6% of the white subjects they stopped in 2020. As shown in Figure 5 above, 71% of all subjects documented on FICs were black or African-American in 2020. See appendix 3 for frequencies of all search types and demographic segments.

Table 9: Discretionary Search Rates by Race of Subject 2020

	No Discretionary Search	Discretionary Search
Black or African-American	11,612 (91%)	1,152 (9%)
White	3,942 (94%)	248 (6%)
Hispanic or Latinx	584 (92%)	48 (8%)
Asian	114 (97%)	4 (3%)
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	9 (100%)	0 (0%)
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	165 (96%)	6 (4%)

FICs indicate that officers conducted discretionary searches on 11% of the male subjects they stopped, and 3% of the female subjects they stopped in 2020.

Table 10: Discretionary Search Rates by Sex of Subject, 2020

	No Discretionary Search	Discretionary Search
Male	11,011 (89%)	1,305 (11%)
Female	5,415 (97%)	153 (3%)

FICs indicate that officers conducted discretionary searches on 10% of the subjects they stopped between the ages of 18 and 24, and 19% of the subjects they stopped between the ages of 13 and 17 in 2020.

Table 11: Discretionary Search Rates by Age of Subject, 2020

	No Discretionary Search	Discretionary Search
≤12 Yrs	277 (99%)	4 (1%)
13-17	593 (81%)	138 (19%)
18-24	2,969 (90%)	344 (10%)
25-34	5,050 (93%)	402 (7%)
35-64	6,933 (93%)	552 (7%)
65+	600 (97%)	18 (3%)
Unknown Age	4 (100%)	0 (0%)

See appendix 3 for frequencies of all search types and demographic segments.

Evidence seized rates for Discretionary Searches

The FIC has a section for officers to indicate whether they seized evidence from individuals. It is important to note the FIC does not allow an officer to link evidence he/she seizes to an individual search. For the purposes of this analysis an FIC that indicates a discretionary search occurred and evidence was seized was considered to be a “hit” when it is possible the evidence was seized from a different non-discretionary search. FICs indicate evidence was seized from 17% of the subjects that received discretionary searches in 2020. The evidence seized rate has been relatively consistent since 2015.

Table 12: Discretionary Searches and Evidence Seized Rates, 2015-2020

	Discretionary Search and No Evidence Seized	Discretionary Search and Evidence Seized
2015	3,665 (85%)	638 (15%)
2016	7,467 (83%)	1,487 (17%)
2017	9,834 (79%)	2,634 (21%)
2018	5,583 (80%)	1,415 (20%)
2019	1,643 (81%)	380 (19%)
2020	1,215 (83%)	243 (17%)

*NOPD implemented a supervisor approval process for FICs in May 2015. Supervisors review FICs for accuracy and completeness. This report shows data from FICs that have been approved by a supervisor.

FICs indicate that officers seized contraband from 17% of the black or African-American subjects they searched with discretionary searches, and from 12% of the white subjects they searched with discretionary searches in 2020.

Table 13: Discretionary Searches and Evidence Seized by Race of Subject, 2020

	Discretionary Search and No Evidence Seized	Discretionary Search and Evidence Seized
Black or African-American	952 (83%)	200 (17%)
White	219 (88%)	29 (12%)
Hispanic or Latinx	35 (73%)	13 (27%)
Asian	4 (100%)	0 (0%)
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	-	-
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	5 (83%)	1 (17%)

FICs indicate that officers found contraband on 17% of the male subjects they searched with discretionary searches and 14% of the female subjects they searched with discretionary searches in 2020.

Table 14: Discretionary Searches and Evidence Seized by Sex of Subject, 2020

	Discretionary Search and No Evidence Seized	Discretionary Search and Evidence Seized
Male	1,083 (83%)	222 (17%)
Female	132 (86%)	21 (14%)

FICs indicate that officers seized evidence from 23% of the subjects they searched with discretionary searches who were between the ages of 18 and 24 and from 19% of the subjects they searched with discretionary searches who were between the ages of 25 and 34 in 2020.

Table 15: Discretionary Searches and Evidence Seized by Age of Subject, 2020

	Discretionary Search and No Evidence Seized	Discretionary Search and Evidence Seized
≤12 Yrs	4 (100%)	0 (0%)
13-17	119 (86%)	19 (14%)
18-24	264 (77%)	80 (23%)
25-34	326 (81%)	76 (19%)
35-64	485 (88%)	67 (12%)
65+	17 (94%)	1 (6%)
Unknown Age	-	-

Pat Down Search and Evidence Seized Rates by Race/Ethnicity

When officers have a legal reason to stop someone and they suspect the person is armed and dangerous, officers may conduct a pat down of the subject. When an officer conducts a pat down he/she/they search a person's outer garments with his/her/their open hands. A pat down is one type of discretionary search. In 2020, officers conducted a pat down on 8% of the black or African-American subjects they stopped and on 4% of the white subjects they stopped.

Table 16: Pat Down Rates by Race/Ethnicity of Subject, 2020

	No Pat Down	Pat Down
Black or African-American	11,762 (92%)	1,002 (8%)
White	4,011 (96%)	179 (4%)
Hispanic or Latinx	589 (93%)	43 (7%)
Asian	114 (97%)	4 (3%)
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	9 (100%)	0 (0%)
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	168 (98%)	3 (2%)

During the course of a pat down, an officer may seize objects that appear to be a weapon or that he/she/they immediately recognize to be contraband. It is important to note the FIC does not allow an officer to link evidence he/she seizes to an individual search. For the purposes of this analysis an FIC that indicates a pat down occurred and evidence was seized was considered to be a "hit" when it is possible the evidence was seized from a different search. In 2020, officers seized evidence from 17% of the black or African-American subjects they patted down and from 11% of the white subjects they patted down.

Table 17: Pat Down Evidence Seized Rates by Race/Ethnicity of Subject, 2020

	Pat Down and No Evidence Seized	Pat Down and Evidence Seized
Black or African-American	836 (83%)	166 (17%)
White	160 (89%)	19 (11%)
Hispanic or Latinx	31 (72%)	12 (28%)
Asian	4 (100%)	0 (0%)
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	-	-
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	2 (67%)	1 (33%)

Police officers are allowed to stop someone they reasonably suspect of criminal activity. The stop may involve a frisk or pat-down search if the officers have reasonable suspicion that the person is armed and dangerous. One of the concerns with police stops and searches is that it is often thought that they are conducted disproportionately on black citizens. While it appears to be a straightforward assessment to compare those who are searched to the percentage of their make-up of the population, a proper analysis requires a much more complex calculation. Whether looking at vehicular or pedestrian stops, not all people have the same chance or likelihood of being stopped and/or searched by the police. In order to conduct a proper analysis, it is critical to identify a proper comparison group for those who are searched. For example, to determine if black citizens are stopped at a disproportionate rate than white citizens, one should not merely compare those who are stopped to the census or general population. The challenge is to measure the population who drives and has the same opportunity to be stopped and compare them to who is stopped. When investigating vehicular stops, there are a variety of methods researchers and policy analysts have determined the proper control group, or denominator.⁵

As noted in the Los Angeles stop data methodology report, no single study will provide a complete explanation of the observed racial differences in the stop data. A complete understanding of the racial differences in raw stop data will require more than one type of analysis and will require a control group in which every person has the same chance of being stopped. While there are multiple approaches to this analysis, one logical comparison to the race/ethnicity distribution of people NOPD has patted down is to that of people NOPD has arrested for violent crimes, as these are people who could be considered dangerous. The purpose of this comparison is to get a sense of whether the demographics of people officers suspect of being armed and dangerous (who officers have patted down) generally follows the demographics of the people NOPD officers have documented as being dangerous (arrested for violent crimes). While only the facts of individual incidents can determine whether an officer's actions were constitutional or within policy, and only the officer will know the motivation for the stop, our analysis relies solely on the raw data on the stop. The analysis below includes all arrested individuals documented in NOPD police reports that are classified as documenting violent crimes according to the FBI Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2020, 89.4% of the people NOPD arrested for violent crimes were black or African-

⁵ Analysis Group. 2005. Proposed Pedestrian and Motor Vehicle Stop Data Analyses Methodology Report. Los Angeles.

American and 7.1% were white, while 81.4% of the people NOPD patted down were black or African-American and 14.5% were white.

Table 18: Race/Ethnicity Distributions of Arrests for Violent Crimes and Pat Downs, 2020

	UCR Violent Arrests	Pat Downs
Black or African-American	1,412 (89.4%)	1,002 (81.4%)
White	112 (7.1%)	179 (14.5%)
Hispanic or Latinx	41 (2.6%)	43 (3.5%)
Asian	1 (0.1%)	4 (0.3%)
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	12 (0.8%)	0 (0%)

*This data reflects arrested subjects documented in NOPD police reports that are classified as documenting violent crimes according to the FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In the FBI's UCR Program, violent crime is composed of four offenses: murder and non-negligent manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery, and aggravated assault. Violent crimes are defined in the UCR Program as those offenses which involve force or threat of force.

Similarly, it is logical to compare the race/ethnicity distribution of people NOPD has arrested and charged with weapons offenses to the distribution of people NOPD has patted down. For the purposes of this analysis, any charge description with the word "weapon" or "firearm" was considered a weapons offense. In 2020, such charge descriptions include:

ACCESSORY - ILLEGAL USE OF WEAPON
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT WITH A FIREARM
ASSAULT ON PEACE OFFICER (FIREARM)
CARRYING FIREARM ON SCHOOL PROPERTY
CERTAIN POSSESSION OF FIREARMS
DISCHARGING WEAPONS
FIREARM FREE ZONES
FIREARM WITH OBLITERATED NUMBER
ILLEGAL CARRYING OF A WEAPON WHILE IN POSSESSION OF NARCOTICS
ILLEGAL CARRYING OF WEAPON
ILLEGAL CARRYING OF WEAPONS
ILLEGAL POSSESSION OF STOLEN FIREARMS
ILLEGAL USE OF WEAPONS
ILLEGALLY SUPPLYING A FELON WITH A FIREARM
POSSESSING FIREARM ABO PREMISES
POSSESSION OF A FIREARM OR CARRYING OF A CONCEALED WEAPON BY A PERSON CONVICTED OF DOMESTIC ABUSE BATTERY
POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY FELON
POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY JUVENILE
POSSESSION OF WEAPONS IN ABO
POSSESSION UNIDENTIFIABLE FIREARM
PRINCIPAL TO ILLEGAL USE OF WEAPON
SALE OF WEAPONS TO MINORS
THEFT OF A FIREARM
USE OF FIREARM IN ROBBERY

In 2020, black or African-American people made-up 92.5% of those NOPD arrested and charged with a charge description that included the words “weapon” or “firearm,” and white people made-up 4.7%, while 81.4% of the people NOPD patted down were black or African American and 14.5% were white.

Table 19: Race/Ethnicity Distributions of Arrests with “Weapon” or “Firearm” in the Charge Description and Pat Downs, 2020

	Arrests with "Weapon" or "Firearm" in Charge Description	Pat Downs
Black or African-American	1,349 (92.5%)	1,002 (81.4%)
White	68 (4.7%)	179 (14.5%)
Hispanic or Latinx	29 (2%)	43 (3.5%)
Asian	7 (0.5%)	4 (0.3%)
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	6 (0.4%)	0 (0%)

Searches by search type and neighborhood

The three neighborhoods with the most searches in 2020 were the Central Business District (639), the French Quarter (449), and Central City (444). The same top three as in 2019. These are also the neighborhoods where the most evidence was seized (see the section Evidence seized by type and neighborhood for more details).

Search results varied considerably across neighborhoods. Below, the top three neighborhoods are ranked for three search type categories and by (a) the total number of searches in each category, and (b) the percentage of total searches within each neighborhood in each category.

To simplify the analysis, search types Consent, Inventory, Vehicle Exception, Exigent Circumstances, Plain Smell, Plain View, and Warrant were categorized as Other Searches.

Incident to Arrest Searches

With respect to the total number of incident to arrest searches, the following neighborhoods were the top three: the Central Business District (449), the French Quarter (318), and Central City (314).

With respect to the percentage of total searches within each neighborhood categorized as incident to arrest, the following neighborhoods were the top three: Algiers Point (100% of 8 searches), Freret (96% of 25 searches), and the Lower Garden District (93% of 45 searches).

Pat Down Searches

With respect to the total number of pat down searches, the following neighborhoods were the top three: Central City (105), the Central Business District (98), and the French Quarter (69).

With respect to the percentage of total searches within each neighborhood that were categorized as pat downs, the top three neighborhoods were: East Carrollton (50% of 8 searches), Village De

L'Est (45% of 51 searches), Read Blvd East (45% of 76 searches), and West Lake Forest (44% of 90 searches).

Other Searches

With respect to the total number of other searches (searches categorized as Consent, Inventory, Vehicle Exception, Exigent Circumstances, Plain Smell, Plain View, and Warrant), the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: Central Business District (92), the French Quarter (62), and Mid-City (48).

With respect to the percentage of total searches within each neighborhood that were categorized as other searches (searches categorized as Consent, Inventory, Vehicle Exception, Exigent Circumstances, Plain Smell, Plain View, and Warrant), the top three neighborhoods were: Lakeshore - Lake Vista (67% of 15 searches), Lake Terrace & Oaks (33% of 40 searches), and Marlyville - Fontainebleau (31% of 36 searches).

Searches by search type and race/ethnicity of the subject

In 2020, FICs indicate that incident to arrest searches accounted for 61% of searches of black or African-American individuals and 67% of searches of white individuals. 22% of searches of black or African-American individuals were pat-down searches, and 17% for white individuals. Comparisons to subjects with race/ethnicity American Indian or Alaskan Native, Asian and individuals of unknown race/ethnicity are unreliable as they received less than 1% of all searches in 2020.

Table 20: Searches by search type and race/ethnicity of subject, 2020

	#	Incident to Arrest	Pat Down	Other
Black or African-American	4,458	61%	22%	16%
White	1,023	67%	17%	15%
Hispanic or Latinx	158	63%	27%	9%
Asian	25	60%	16%	24%
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	2	100%	0%	0%
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	20	55%	15%	30%

*Other includes search types: Consent, Vehicle Exception, Exigent Circumstances, Inventory, Plain Smell, Plain View, and Warrant.

Searches by search type and sex of the subject

In 2020, FICs indicate the percentage of searches of female subjects that were incident to arrest was 73%, and 60% for male subjects. 11% of searches of female subjects were pat downs, while 24% of searches of male subjects were pat downs in 2020.

Table 21: Searches by search type and sex of subject, 2020

	Total	Incident to Arrest	Pat Down	Other
FEMALE	940	73%	11%	16%
MALE	4,746	60%	24%	16%

*Other includes search types: Consent, Vehicle Exception, Exigent Circumstances, Inventory, Plain Smell, Plain View, and Warrant.

Searches by search type and age of the subject

In 2020, FICs indicate that when officers searched subjects between the ages of 18 and 24, 54% of the searches were a search incident to arrest; and for subjects between the ages of 25 and 34, 63% of searches were a search incident to arrest. 25% of searches of subjects between the ages of 18 and 24 were pat-down searches, and 37% of searches of subjects between the ages of 13 and 17 were pat-down searches.

Table 22: Searches in New Orleans by search type and age of subject, 2020


	Total	Incident to Arrest	Pat Down	Other
≤12 Yrs	13	54%	31%	15%
13-17	326	50%	37%	13%
18-24	1,216	54%	25%	21%
25-34	1,805	63%	19%	18%
35-64	2,249	68%	20%	13%
65+	77	73%	18%	9%

*Other includes search types: Consent, Vehicle Exception, Exigent Circumstances, Inventory, Plain Smell, Plain View, and Warrant.

Total Arrests

In 2020, NOPD made about half as many arrests as in 2019, according to the Orleans Parish Sheriff's data. The stark decrease is most likely explained by the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and the city's efforts to minimize the spread of the virus.

Figure 17 – Arrests, 2016-2020



Evidence seized by type

FICs indicate that drugs were the most common (60%) type of evidence seized in 2020. Although

the percentage of weapons seized increased from 17% in 2019 to 21% in 2020, the number of weapons seized decreased from 635 in 2019 to 395 in 2020.

Figure 18 - Contraband found in New Orleans by type of contraband, 2015-2020




Figure 18 Data table – Contraband found in New Orleans by type of contraband, 2015-2020

Year	Weapons	Drugs	Other
2015	229	485	217
2016	482	1,208	496
2017	784	2,356	576
2018	740	3,202	742
2019	635	2,408	625
2020	395	1,115	353

Evidence seized by type and neighborhood

The Central Business District had the highest number of evidence types seized during stops (195), followed by Central City (134), and the French Quarter (133). These neighborhoods represent approximately 26% of all evidence types seized throughout the City in 2020 (462 of 1,809).

Of the previously mentioned areas, weapons were 25% of the evidence types seized in the French Quarter, 24% in the Central Business District, and 22% in Central City. Drugs were 56% of evidence types seized in the Central Business District, and 55% in Central City and the French Quarter.

Evidence seized by type and race /ethnicity of the subject

In 2020, 60% of evidence seized from black or African-American individuals and from white

individuals was drugs. The percentage of evidence seized that was weapons was 23% from black or African-American individuals and 11% from white individuals. Comparisons to Hispanic, Asian, and American Indian individuals and those of unknown race/ethnicity are not reliable as they amount to less than 3% of all evidence seized in 2020.

Table 23: Evidence discovered by type and race/ethnicity of subject, 2020

	Total	Weapons	Drugs	Other
Black or African-American	1,554	23%	60%	17%
White	263	11%	60%	29%
Hispanic or Latinx	42	33%	43%	24%
Asian	8	13%	88%	0%
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	4	50%	50%	0%

Evidence seized by type and sex of the subject

In 2020, FICs indicate that 59% of evidence seized from males was drugs, and 66% of evidence seized from females was drugs. The percentage of evidence seized that was weapons was 22% from males and 16% from females.

Table 24: Evidence found in New Orleans by type of evidence and sex of subject, 2020

	Total	Weapons	Drugs	Other
Female	343	16%	66%	18%
Male	1528	22%	59%	19%

Evidence seized by type and age of the subject

In 2020, FICs indicate that 69% of evidence seized from subjects between the ages of 18 and 34 was drugs. The percentage of evidence seized that was weapons was 23% from subjects between the ages of 18 and 24 and 22% from subjects between the ages of 25 and 34. Although evidence seized from subjects between the ages of 13 and 17 amounted to 5% of all evidence seized, 20% of evidence seized from such subjects was weapons.

Table 25: Evidence seized by type and age of subject, 2020

	Total	Weapons	Drugs	Other
13-17	90	30%	34%	36%
18-24	560	23%	69%	8%
25-34	661	22%	61%	17%
35-64	550	17%	54%	29%
65+	10	10%	50%	40%

Steps taken to correct problems and build on successes

Training

The Academy In-Service curriculum for 2020 was built upon a Problem Based Learning design with several courses featuring Stop & Search requirements based upon the findings of the Professional Standards and Accountability Bureau's stops auditing. The course descriptions are as follows:

Procedural Justice Solutions (CD Paragraphs 177,226) 2 Hours

This course will begin with a review of the problem-oriented policing methodology offered in 2019, reinforcing the process for solving community concerns and Department priorities. Officers will participate in a PBL activity that will require community participation in an action plan featuring the deployment of proactive patrol strategies. The teams will review the "Procedural Justice" audit scorecard and its application towards planned targeted enforcement solutions. The scorecard provides guidance for the proper method of interacting with suspects in vehicle and pedestrian stops. A focus on constitutional policing procedures that reinforce the core principles of procedural justice, bias-free policing, police legitimacy, and community policing will be addressed.

Suspicious Person and Vehicle Stop Considerations (CD Paragraph 162,177,226) 3 Hours

This course will introduce the "Audit Scoresheet" requirements for the "Stops Scorecard", "Pat Down Scorecard", and the "Consent to Search Scorecard". A PBL activity will require the officers to apply scorecard guidance in both a suspicious person detention and a vehicle stop situation. The officers will be required to summarize their investigative activities in a Field Interview Card (FIC) that follows the scorecard measured areas, including procedural justice, bias-free policing, and community impact aspects. The officers must also apply constitutional policing information provided in a legal aspect review of recent court decisions and related Department policy updates for stop, search, and arrest. Emphasis will be placed on the legality and limitations of searches based on exigency and warrantless exceptions.

Field Interview Card Review – (Suspicious Person Stops from Day Four) (CD 162) 1 Hour

This course will evaluate FIC submittals from the previous day for compliance with the intent of the "Stops", "Pat Downs" and "Search" audit scorecards. The primary objectives are to ensure that officers can adequately articulate the reasonable suspicion factors for the initial stop, the application of procedural justice in the conduct of that investigation, and the elements leading to a pat-down or search of a person or vehicle.

Vehicle Stop Tactics (CD Paragraphs 162,177,109,226) 3 Hours

A series of interactive scenario exercises will be presented at the New Orleans East campus that require officers to employ constitutional policing guidance, procedural justice, and departmental policy in the detention of vehicles for both routine violations and high-risk felony stops. Instructors will provide tactical direction intended to develop a consistent Department recognized method in officer survival skillsets for vehicle stops. Officers will receive training in the SUL low ready armed position, maintaining the weapon barrel pointed downward in applicable situations. Objectives will include tactical recommendations for the safe approach, the decision to have the driver/occupants exit the vehicle, and the communications deployed by the officer(s). Considerations will also include the legal justification for a pat-down, search of the vehicle, and potential handcuffing when policy stipulations

are met. For the felony vehicle stop scenarios, officers and role players may utilize SIRT laser weapons to simulate use of force situations. Upon conclusion of one of the scenarios, an FIC card will be required.

Pedestrian Stop Tactics

(CD Paragraphs 162, 177,109,226) 3 Hours

A series of interactive scenario exercises will be presented at the New Orleans East campus that require officers to employ constitutional policing guidance, procedural justice, and departmental policy in the investigative detention of suspicious persons. Instructors will provide tactical direction intended to develop a consistent Department recognized method in officer survival skillsets for pedestrian stops. Objectives will include tactical recommendations for the safe approach, the control of the persons to be interviewed, and the communications deployed by the officer(s). Considerations will also include the legal justification for a pat-down, a search of the suspect, and potential handcuffing when policy stipulations are met. For the pedestrian stop scenarios, officers and role players may utilize SIRT laser weapons to simulate use of force situations. Upon conclusion of one of the scenarios, an FIC card will be required.

Unfortunately, the COVID19 classroom restrictions prevented these courses from being presented. The 2020 Annual Master Training Plan was amended, and the 40-hour week-long curriculum was continued in total to the year 2021. COVID19 restrictions extended into 2021 as well, and now this Problem Based Learning curriculum will start in 2022.

As for in-service training in Stop and Search that was completed in 2020, the Education and Training Division designed an E-Learning module titled “Safeguarding Fairness in Citizen Stops” which incorporated elements of Stops with Procedural Justice.

Monthly Training Quizzes

Every month the Policy and Planning Section of NOPD’s Professional Standards and Accountability Bureau creates a 20 question, online, mandatory quiz designed to require the test taker to read and understand policy in order to reinforce policy. In March, July, and September of 2020 the online quiz required NOPD employees to read critical parts of Ch 41.13 – Bias Free Policing, NOPD Rule 3: Professional Conduct, Ch 41.3.10 – Body Worn Camera (which covers required activation), NOPD Rule 2: Moral Conduct, Ch. 1.2.4.1 – Stops/Terry Stops, and Ch 41.12 – Filed Interview Cards.

Internal Auditing and Corrective Action Plans

NOPD conducts stops, searches, and arrests auditing. The audit is designed to ensure that all stops, searches, and arrests are consistent with NOPD policy and constitutional law, are documented appropriately, that documentation is complete and accurate, and that stops, searches, and arrests are carried out with fairness and respect. Auditors review video, reports, and other documentation for every incident in the audit sample. The most recent audit covered May of 2020 and the audit report is available at <https://nola.gov/nopd/nopd-consent-decree>.

The audit results are shared with the auditees. Supervisors are required to address audit findings through additional training, non-disciplinary corrective action (redirection and counselling), and formal disciplinary action as appropriate to the deficiencies. The department created and implemented a Corrective Action Plan in response to the May 2020 Stops, Searches, and Arrests audit. Additionally,

NOPD's Public Integrity Bureau reviews non-disciplinary corrective action to determine whether formal discipline is required.

Notify PSS

NOPD's Professional Standards and Accountability Bureau (PSAB) uses the Notify PSS process to address policy violations and training needs its members may observe. PSAB members may make such observations through the course of their regular work assignments or while engaging in special project assignments, especially members conducting audits. 118 observations were reviewed and addressed by the Notify PSS process in 2020, about half were observations made during stops, searches, and arrests auditing.

SFLs

In 2020, NOPD supervisors documented 1,705 supervisor feedback log entries which document compliments, notes, redirections, and counseling of subordinates. 321 (19%) were categorized by the documenting supervisor as being related to: arrests, stops, searches, or bias-free policing.

Formal Disciplinary Investigations

In 2020, the department initiated three formal disciplinary investigations alleging six violations of stops, searches, and arrest policy. Five of the alleged violations were determined to be unfounded, which means the investigation determined, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the allegation did not occur or did not involve the subject employee. One investigation is ongoing.

Management Dashboard

NOPD made several management analytical tools that are relevant to stops, searches, and arrests available to members, including:

- The Pending FIC Dashboard, which shows supervisors Field Interview Cards (FIC) that have yet to be reviewed and highlights the ones that indicate a search occurred. And the dashboard shows supervisors all 911 Dispatch data that indicate an FIC is required and for which an FIC with a matching incident number does not exist.
- The Pending EPR Incident Reports Dashboard, which shows supervisors all police reports that have yet to be reviewed and 911 Dispatch data that indicate a police report is required and for which a police report with a matching incident number does not exist.
- The Audit Results Dashboard, which makes audit results readily available for review by supervisors and highlights audit criteria with sub-par compliance rates for each district/division.

Appendices

Appendix 1 – New Orleans’ Neighborhood Demographics (source: The Data Center, Neighborhood Statistical Area Data Profiles, released Feb 24, 2021)

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population		Female		Male	
	2015-2019	MOE	2015-2019	MOE	2015-2019	MOE
Algiers Point	2,584	276	48.90%	3.80%	51.10%	3.60%
Audubon	16,228	652	53.40%	2.10%	46.60%	1.80%
B.W. Cooper	1,265	266	48.20%	7.30%	51.80%	6.70%
Bayou St. John	3,934	388	52.10%	3.00%	47.90%	3.90%
Behrman	7,328	776	57.50%	3.10%	42.50%	3.90%
Black Pearl	1,810	174	60.20%	3.50%	39.80%	4.30%
Broadmoor	7,277	585	52.20%	2.60%	47.80%	3.30%
Bywater	3,541	328	44.90%	3.20%	55.10%	4.40%
Central Business District	3,074	326	37.80%	4.80%	62.20%	4.30%
Central City	13,565	914	51.30%	2.60%	48.70%	2.90%
City Park	2,746	226	50.40%	4.00%	49.60%	3.80%
Desire Dev & Neighborhood	2,427	574	52.40%	0.40%	47.60%	7.10%
Dillard	5,092	530	48.80%	3.20%	51.20%	4.50%
Dixon	1,466	246	47.90%	11.10%	52.10%	11.80%
East Carrollton	4,099	328	50.90%	4.50%	49.10%	4.00%
East Riverside	2,952	323	53.40%	4.80%	46.60%	3.20%
Fairgrounds	5,362	539	54.40%	5.40%	45.60%	3.90%
Filmore	5,490	418	55.30%	4.10%	44.70%	4.40%
Fischer Development	953	199	60.10%	9.10%	39.90%	7.80%
Florida Area	1,401	221	55.40%	5.70%	44.60%	4.50%
Florida Development	-	13	NA	NA	NA	NA
French Quarter	3,198	410	42.50%	6.20%	57.50%	3.00%
Freret	2,026	191	45.60%	5.40%	54.40%	6.70%
Garden District	1,829	232	52.00%	5.40%	48.00%	7.80%
Gentilly Terrace	10,695	743	58.40%	2.00%	41.60%	2.70%
Gentilly Woods	3,185	477	57.80%	6.10%	42.20%	6.80%
Gert Town	5,117	576	62.60%	4.10%	37.40%	5.00%
Hollygrove	6,402	656	49.30%	2.00%	50.70%	5.30%
Holy Cross	3,401	403	55.60%	5.30%	44.40%	6.40%
Iberville Development	389	72	54.00%	12.70%	46.00%	4.80%
Irish Channel	3,275	312	52.40%	6.00%	47.60%	5.40%
Lake Catherine	854	149	46.60%	11.30%	53.40%	8.70%
Lake Terrace & Oaks	2,143	181	54.20%	3.90%	45.80%	3.70%
Lakeshore/Lake Vista	3,546	356	49.50%	4.40%	50.50%	3.70%
Lakeview	8,388	339	52.60%	2.10%	47.40%	2.10%
Lakewood	1,885	140	49.10%	4.20%	50.90%	2.20%
Leonidas	7,228	566	58.80%	4.20%	41.20%	3.80%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population		Female		Male	
	2015-2019	MOE	2015-2019	MOE	2015-2019	MOE
Little Woods	38,558	1,962	55.80%	1.40%	44.20%	2.20%
Lower Garden District	6,153	466	48.00%	2.90%	52.00%	4.00%
Lower Ninth Ward	4,074	432	55.70%	4.70%	44.30%	4.10%
Marigny	3,017	405	43.60%	3.40%	56.40%	6.00%
Marlyville/Fontainebleau	6,269	403	53.40%	3.60%	46.60%	2.20%
McDonogh	2,563	420	52.40%	11.70%	47.60%	8.60%
Mid-City	14,059	659	42.80%	2.50%	57.20%	2.80%
Milan	6,302	637	50.30%	3.80%	49.70%	4.20%
Milneburg	4,885	448	50.20%	3.00%	49.80%	4.90%
Navarre	2,738	222	48.50%	3.90%	51.50%	3.60%
New Aurora/English Turn	6,684	875	53.10%	3.40%	46.90%	4.30%
Old Aurora	17,516	1,128	51.10%	2.30%	48.90%	2.50%
Pines Village	3,555	533	57.50%	4.90%	42.50%	6.60%
Plum Orchard	4,679	612	55.50%	5.60%	44.50%	5.50%
Pontchartrain Park	2,011	277	57.00%	3.60%	43.00%	5.50%
Read Blvd East	8,709	728	55.00%	4.00%	45.00%	3.00%
Read Blvd West	5,083	616	53.10%	5.00%	46.90%	5.00%
Seventh Ward	11,120	761	48.80%	3.30%	51.20%	3.20%
St. Anthony	5,069	500	57.20%	3.60%	42.80%	4.20%
St. Bernard Area	2,664	320	64.30%	5.30%	35.70%	5.10%
St. Claude	7,426	533	53.30%	3.20%	46.70%	3.00%
St. Roch	8,148	641	51.70%	2.70%	48.30%	3.60%
St. Thomas Development	2,369	551	52.80%	3.30%	47.20%	14.20%
Tall Timbers/Brechtel	15,531	1,196	57.60%	2.50%	42.40%	3.20%
Touro	2,765	386	55.30%	5.10%	44.70%	7.00%
Treme'/Lafitte	4,590	570	45.60%	3.80%	54.40%	5.30%
Tulane/Gravier	4,057	604	39.90%	2.80%	60.10%	8.00%
U.S. Naval Support Area	2,242	316	55.00%	4.00%	45.00%	4.70%
Uptown	6,077	402	50.80%	3.30%	49.20%	3.30%
Viavant/Venetian Isles	725	187	53.50%	14.90%	46.50%	2.50%
Village de l'est	9,297	870	50.70%	0.60%	49.30%	4.10%
West End	3,931	263	50.40%	3.80%	49.60%	3.80%
West Lake Forest	4,740	494	55.10%	2.40%	44.90%	4.50%
West Riverside	5,147	380	50.80%	2.70%	49.20%	3.80%
Whitney	1,937	311	48.10%	4.20%	51.90%	11.60%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population		Black or African American		White		Asian	
	2015-		2015-		2015-		2015-	
	2019	MOE	2019	MOE	2019	MOE	2019	MOE
Algiers Point	2,584	276	9.10%	3.90%	85.00%	1.80%	0.30%	0.50%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Black or African							
	Population		American		White		Asian	
	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE
Audubon	16,228	652	7.30%	1.30%	72.40%	2.20%	6.10%	1.20%
B.W. Cooper	1,265	266	97.60%	4.60%	0.00%	1.00%	0.00%	1.00%
Bayou St. John	3,934	388	26.70%	7.90%	64.00%	2.60%	0.30%	0.40%
Behrman	7,328	776	78.50%	6.20%	11.50%	4.60%	0.30%	0.30%
Black Pearl	1,810	174	17.50%	6.00%	65.80%	4.60%	5.00%	3.00%
Broadmoor	7,277	585	55.80%	6.80%	36.10%	2.80%	1.10%	0.60%
Bywater	3,541	328	26.00%	5.00%	63.60%	3.30%	0.60%	0.60%
Central Business District	3,074	326	31.70%	7.20%	58.60%	4.00%	2.10%	2.20%
Central City	13,565	914	68.70%	3.00%	19.60%	2.60%	0.90%	0.40%
City Park	2,746	226	3.90%	2.80%	82.80%	3.80%	1.60%	1.50%
Desire Dev & Neighborhood	2,427	574	98.20%	5.80%	0.90%	1.50%	0.00%	0.50%
Dillard	5,092	530	89.10%	4.30%	7.30%	3.10%	0.40%	0.50%
Dixon	1,466	246	85.40%	10.10%	5.70%	2.60%	0.00%	0.90%
East Carrollton	4,099	328	26.50%	8.90%	57.40%	6.30%	7.90%	4.20%
East Riverside	2,952	323	29.10%	9.00%	64.10%	9.30%	0.60%	0.90%
Fairgrounds	5,362	539	51.90%	6.60%	37.20%	4.30%	1.30%	1.00%
Filmore	5,490	418	69.90%	5.70%	22.60%	1.70%	2.30%	1.50%
Fischer Development	953	199	97.50%	2.10%	1.60%	1.80%	0.00%	1.40%
Florida Area	1,401	221	95.00%	5.60%	4.00%	2.90%	0.00%	0.90%
Florida Development	-	13	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
French Quarter	3,198	410	5.20%	3.60%	87.70%	5.00%	0.40%	0.70%
Freret	2,026	191	48.60%	5.30%	37.30%	6.30%	0.40%	0.50%
Garden District	1,829	232	5.00%	4.90%	90.10%	6.10%	0.40%	0.70%
Gentilly Terrace	10,695	743	76.30%	4.80%	15.70%	1.50%	0.60%	0.60%
Gentilly Woods	3,185	477	70.00%	9.70%	19.30%	4.30%	1.80%	2.90%
Gert Town	5,117	576	83.60%	7.10%	8.60%	3.30%	1.40%	0.90%
Hollygrove	6,402	656	87.20%	1.90%	6.90%	3.20%	0.40%	0.60%
Holy Cross	3,401	403	78.40%	6.50%	16.60%	3.60%	1.70%	2.00%
Iberville Development	389	72	96.90%	4.60%	3.10%	4.10%	0.00%	3.30%
Irish Channel	3,275	312	21.80%	5.90%	67.40%	3.70%	1.20%	1.10%
Lake Catherine	854	149	3.60%	4.20%	91.80%	14.10%	0.20%	0.50%
Lake Terrace & Oaks	2,143	181	28.80%	4.80%	55.80%	6.80%	5.60%	3.60%
Lakeshore/Lake Vista	3,546	356	3.60%	3.60%	89.70%	0.60%	1.30%	1.90%
Lakeview	8,388	339	3.30%	1.60%	85.80%	1.70%	2.90%	1.50%
Lakewood	1,885	140	2.20%	3.20%	94.30%	3.80%	1.60%	1.50%
Leonidas	7,228	566	49.40%	5.90%	36.00%	1.90%	3.10%	2.00%
Little Woods	38,558	1,962	93.30%	1.60%	2.80%	0.80%	1.70%	1.00%
Lower Garden District	6,153	466	14.10%	3.50%	68.80%	3.30%	1.80%	0.80%
Lower Ninth Ward	4,074	432	90.60%	4.80%	6.80%	3.40%	0.20%	0.70%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Black or African							
	Population		American		White		Asian	
	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE
Marigny	3,017	405	13.40%	10.20%	76.60%	13.40%	1.60%	1.30%
Marlyville/Fontainebleau	6,269	403	24.90%	5.20%	59.70%	1.80%	3.00%	1.80%
McDonogh	2,563	420	81.30%	10.30%	15.80%	4.90%	0.00%	0.70%
Mid-City	14,059	659	45.80%	3.50%	39.70%	2.30%	0.80%	0.40%
Milan	6,302	637	49.20%	7.30%	43.60%	4.20%	1.30%	1.30%
Milneburg	4,885	448	86.80%	4.40%	7.20%	2.00%	1.30%	1.80%
Navarre	2,738	222	5.50%	6.00%	76.20%	6.80%	4.20%	4.70%
New Aurora/English Turn	6,684	875	70.60%	8.20%	14.10%	1.50%	10.50%	4.00%
Old Aurora	17,516	1,128	56.70%	4.40%	27.40%	2.30%	3.10%	1.10%
Pines Village	3,555	533	95.80%	1.40%	2.30%	1.50%	0.00%	0.40%
Plum Orchard	4,679	612	96.50%	3.00%	0.50%	0.70%	0.00%	0.30%
Pontchartrain Park	2,011	277	96.40%	3.90%	1.70%	2.00%	0.00%	0.60%
Read Blvd East	8,709	728	81.00%	4.90%	2.40%	1.50%	14.10%	3.50%
Read Blvd West	5,083	616	95.30%	4.20%	2.10%	1.30%	1.30%	1.40%
Seventh Ward	11,120	761	76.30%	4.10%	17.50%	2.30%	0.20%	0.40%
St. Anthony	5,069	500	78.40%	5.90%	11.90%	2.90%	2.00%	1.80%
St. Bernard Area	2,664	320	88.50%	6.80%	3.00%	1.40%	0.20%	0.30%
St. Claude	7,426	533	62.10%	5.20%	29.00%	3.00%	0.30%	0.50%
St. Roch	8,148	641	80.70%	2.30%	9.20%	1.90%	0.40%	0.40%
St. Thomas Development	2,369	551	77.30%	14.50%	18.20%	3.30%	1.90%	2.00%
Tall Timbers/Brechtel	15,531	1,196	81.10%	4.40%	12.90%	2.50%	2.30%	1.20%
Touro	2,765	386	13.20%	7.30%	77.10%	4.90%	1.30%	1.10%
Treme'/Lafitte	4,590	570	56.30%	7.60%	35.60%	3.80%	0.40%	0.70%
Tulane/Gravier	4,057	604	65.00%	8.60%	18.30%	4.90%	2.40%	1.70%
U.S. Naval Support Area	2,242	316	72.20%	9.00%	18.00%	4.30%	0.20%	0.30%
Uptown	6,077	402	15.30%	4.60%	77.60%	7.10%	0.80%	0.50%
Viavant/Venetian Isles	725	187	78.10%	15.00%	8.70%	4.80%	2.10%	4.00%
Village de l'est	9,297	870	50.20%	4.90%	2.60%	1.20%	34.80%	3.60%
West End	3,931	263	14.20%	6.30%	71.50%	5.10%	5.50%	3.10%
West Lake Forest	4,740	494	88.70%	3.30%	0.80%	0.60%	0.00%	0.40%
West Riverside	5,147	380	19.20%	4.70%	72.20%	2.00%	1.50%	0.90%
Whitney	1,937	311	78.00%	8.50%	17.60%	4.00%	1.10%	1.00%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population		American Indian		Hispanic (any race)		Other	
	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE
Algiers Point	2,584	276	0.00%	0.50%	5.60%	3.00%	0.00%	0.70%
Audubon	16,228	652	0.00%	0.20%	11.10%	2.90%	0.30%	0.40%
B.W. Cooper	1,265	266	0.00%	1.00%	0.60%	0.90%	0.50%	1.30%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population		American Indian		Hispanic (any race)		Other	
	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE
Bayou St. John	3,934	388	0.00%	0.50%	8.00%	3.70%	0.30%	0.60%
Behrman	7,328	776	0.20%	0.40%	8.40%	4.30%	0.00%	0.40%
Black Pearl	1,810	174	0.20%	0.20%	7.60%	4.00%	0.00%	1.00%
Broadmoor	7,277	585	0.00%	0.30%	5.80%	1.80%	0.10%	0.40%
Bywater	3,541	328	0.40%	0.50%	7.60%	2.70%	0.60%	1.00%
Central Business District	3,074	326	0.00%	0.40%	5.10%	2.80%	0.90%	1.10%
Central City	13,565	914	0.10%	0.30%	9.50%	2.70%	0.00%	0.40%
City Park	2,746	226	0.00%	0.70%	6.30%	2.80%	1.80%	3.00%
Desire Dev & Neighborhood	2,427	574	0.50%	0.80%	0.00%	0.50%	0.00%	0.80%
Dillard	5,092	530	0.10%	0.30%	2.00%	1.80%	0.50%	0.80%
Dixon	1,466	246	0.00%	0.90%	6.90%	4.40%	0.00%	1.30%
East Carrollton	4,099	328	0.00%	0.40%	5.00%	2.90%	0.00%	0.60%
East Riverside	2,952	323	0.00%	0.60%	4.70%	2.30%	0.00%	0.90%
Fairgrounds	5,362	539	0.00%	0.30%	5.20%	2.40%	1.20%	1.30%
Filmore	5,490	418	0.00%	0.30%	4.00%	2.00%	0.50%	0.80%
Fischer Development	953	199	0.00%	1.40%	0.90%	1.60%	0.00%	1.90%
Florida Area	1,401	221	0.00%	0.90%	1.00%	1.60%	0.00%	1.30%
Florida Development	-	13	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
French Quarter	3,198	410	0.50%	0.80%	4.90%	2.70%	0.00%	0.80%
Freret	2,026	191	0.20%	0.30%	7.70%	6.10%	2.70%	2.80%
Garden District	1,829	232	0.00%	0.70%	4.00%	3.20%	0.40%	1.00%
Gentilly Terrace	10,695	743	0.10%	0.20%	3.70%	2.00%	1.60%	0.90%
Gentilly Woods	3,185	477	0.00%	0.40%	6.00%	4.90%	1.60%	2.50%
Gert Town	5,117	576	0.10%	0.30%	4.80%	3.30%	0.60%	0.60%
Hollygrove	6,402	656	0.00%	0.30%	2.50%	1.40%	0.00%	0.40%
Holy Cross	3,401	403	0.00%	0.50%	1.30%	1.00%	0.00%	0.80%
Iberville Development	389	72	0.00%	3.30%	0.00%	3.30%	0.00%	4.70%
Irish Channel	3,275	312	0.70%	0.80%	8.30%	4.20%	0.00%	0.80%
Lake Catherine	854	149	0.00%	1.50%	0.90%	1.50%	1.60%	3.70%
Lake Terrace & Oaks	2,143	181	0.00%	0.60%	2.70%	2.00%	0.30%	0.70%
Lakeshore/Lake Vista	3,546	356	0.00%	0.40%	2.50%	1.50%	0.00%	0.50%
Lakeview	8,388	339	0.50%	0.60%	6.50%	1.90%	0.20%	0.50%
Lakewood	1,885	140	0.00%	0.70%	1.90%	1.40%	0.00%	1.00%
Leonidas	7,228	566	0.40%	0.50%	7.60%	3.20%	0.70%	1.50%
Little Woods	38,558	1,962	0.20%	0.20%	1.20%	0.60%	0.10%	0.20%
Lower Garden District	6,153	466	0.10%	0.40%	11.50%	4.30%	0.20%	0.60%
Lower Ninth Ward	4,074	432	0.10%	0.60%	0.90%	1.00%	1.20%	1.60%
Marigny	3,017	405	0.00%	0.60%	6.20%	3.90%	0.00%	0.90%
Marlyville/Fontainebleau	6,269	403	0.30%	0.40%	8.50%	2.10%	0.80%	0.80%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population		American Indian		Hispanic (any race)		Other	
	2015-	MOE	2015-	MOE	2015-	MOE	2015-	MOE
	2019		2019		2019		2019	
McDonogh	2,563	420	0.20%	0.60%	1.40%	1.30%	0.00%	1.00%
Mid-City	14,059	659	0.00%	0.20%	11.00%	2.10%	0.20%	0.30%
Milan	6,302	637	0.10%	0.30%	4.30%	1.70%	0.20%	0.50%
Milneburg	4,885	448	0.00%	0.40%	1.60%	1.30%	1.20%	1.10%
Navarre	2,738	222	0.90%	1.40%	11.20%	9.80%	0.00%	0.70%
New Aurora/English Turn	6,684	875	0.10%	0.20%	4.20%	2.60%	0.00%	0.40%
Old Aurora	17,516	1,128	0.20%	0.20%	10.30%	2.70%	0.30%	0.30%
Pines Village	3,555	533	0.00%	0.40%	1.10%	2.00%	0.00%	0.50%
Plum Orchard	4,679	612	0.00%	0.30%	2.80%	2.50%	0.00%	0.40%
Pontchartrain Park	2,011	277	0.00%	0.60%	1.00%	1.10%	0.00%	0.90%
Read Blvd East	8,709	728	0.00%	0.20%	0.60%	1.00%	0.50%	0.90%
Read Blvd West	5,083	616	0.00%	0.40%	1.30%	1.40%	0.00%	0.50%
Seventh Ward	11,120	761	0.00%	0.30%	3.20%	1.20%	0.10%	0.50%
St. Anthony	5,069	500	0.20%	0.40%	5.50%	2.00%	1.00%	1.20%
St. Bernard Area	2,664	320	0.00%	0.50%	6.00%	5.80%	0.00%	0.70%
St. Claude	7,426	533	0.20%	0.40%	5.50%	2.40%	0.10%	0.50%
St. Roch	8,148	641	0.50%	0.70%	7.70%	3.60%	0.30%	0.50%
St. Thomas Development	2,369	551	0.00%	0.50%	1.50%	1.30%	0.00%	0.80%
Tall Timbers/Brechtel	15,531	1,196	0.00%	0.20%	3.30%	2.60%	0.20%	0.30%
Touro	2,765	386	0.80%	1.20%	3.50%	2.40%	0.70%	0.70%
Treme'/Lafitte	4,590	570	0.00%	0.50%	5.10%	2.50%	0.00%	0.80%
Tulane/Gravier	4,057	604	0.00%	0.30%	11.40%	4.60%	0.10%	0.60%
U.S. Naval Support Area	2,242	316	0.00%	0.60%	8.70%	4.60%	0.60%	1.60%
Uptown	6,077	402	0.80%	0.80%	3.20%	1.50%	0.20%	0.60%
Viavant/Venetian Isles	725	187	0.00%	2.50%	11.20%	8.10%	0.00%	3.60%
Village de l'est	9,297	870	0.50%	0.60%	10.30%	6.60%	1.50%	1.90%
West End	3,931	263	0.00%	0.30%	7.40%	3.10%	0.80%	0.80%
West Lake Forest	4,740	494	0.00%	0.40%	6.40%	3.50%	1.20%	1.70%
West Riverside	5,147	380	0.00%	0.40%	5.00%	2.00%	0.70%	1.20%
Whitney	1,937	311	0.30%	0.70%	1.60%	1.70%	1.40%	2.10%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population		4 years old and under		5-9 years old		10-14 years old	
	2015-	MOE	2015-	MOE	2015-	MOE	2015-	MOE
	2019		2019		2019		2019	
Algiers Point	2,584	276	7.60%	3.00%	5.30%	3.10%	0.00%	0.70%
Audubon	16,228	652	4.60%	1.50%	4.20%	0.80%	2.80%	0.50%
B.W. Cooper	1,265	266	13.60%	7.30%	12.40%	4.60%	13.50%	4.90%
Bayou St. John	3,934	388	7.30%	2.80%	4.90%	2.30%	2.90%	1.50%
Behrman	7,328	776	6.20%	2.80%	5.90%	1.90%	5.60%	2.00%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population		4 years old and under		5-9 years old		10-14 years old	
	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE
Black Pearl	1,810	174	4.30%	2.30%	1.60%	1.00%	2.90%	1.90%
Broadmoor	7,277	585	6.30%	2.00%	6.20%	1.80%	4.80%	1.80%
Bywater	3,541	328	3.20%	1.60%	3.30%	1.60%	1.80%	0.80%
Central Business District	3,074	326	1.60%	1.10%	1.50%	0.90%	0.80%	0.70%
Central City	13,565	914	6.00%	1.50%	6.70%	1.30%	4.20%	1.10%
City Park	2,746	226	4.70%	2.30%	2.00%	1.30%	3.30%	1.70%
Desire Dev & Neighborhood	2,427	574	6.00%	3.40%	14.10%	5.60%	7.10%	3.50%
Dillard	5,092	530	4.80%	2.70%	4.40%	1.70%	4.30%	1.80%
Dixon	1,466	246	6.30%	4.10%	4.40%	3.90%	11.30%	6.90%
East Carrollton	4,099	328	5.20%	2.60%	4.70%	2.30%	3.80%	2.10%
East Riverside	2,952	323	7.90%	2.60%	4.10%	1.80%	2.80%	1.70%
Fairgrounds	5,362	539	5.30%	2.30%	0.90%	0.80%	1.20%	1.10%
Filmore	5,490	418	8.70%	2.90%	6.00%	1.90%	5.90%	2.20%
Fischer Development	953	199	5.70%	5.30%	5.40%	2.80%	10.60%	7.90%
Florida Area	1,401	221	7.70%	4.70%	5.60%	3.80%	1.80%	2.90%
Florida Development	-	13	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
French Quarter	3,198	410	2.00%	1.50%	0.70%	1.00%	0.40%	0.90%
Freret	2,026	191	7.20%	2.90%	5.50%	3.20%	2.50%	2.10%
Garden District	1,829	232	0.80%	1.00%	3.90%	2.30%	6.70%	3.60%
Gentilly Terrace	10,695	743	5.60%	1.50%	7.30%	1.90%	5.90%	1.80%
Gentilly Woods	3,185	477	4.90%	4.00%	9.30%	4.20%	8.80%	4.00%
Gert Town	5,117	576	8.00%	2.60%	5.50%	1.90%	2.20%	1.50%
Hollygrove	6,402	656	8.90%	3.60%	6.40%	2.80%	4.40%	1.70%
Holy Cross	3,401	403	6.70%	2.40%	6.10%	2.50%	6.00%	2.80%
Iberville Development	389	72	13.90%	8.30%	24.90%	9.30%	6.70%	8.50%
Irish Channel	3,275	312	4.20%	1.90%	1.20%	1.00%	2.00%	1.40%
Lake Catherine	854	149	5.90%	3.30%	3.20%	3.70%	2.20%	2.20%
Lake Terrace & Oaks	2,143	181	4.80%	2.50%	5.30%	1.50%	5.40%	1.40%
Lakeshore/ Lake Vista	3,546	356	5.40%	2.00%	6.40%	2.50%	4.70%	2.00%
Lakeview	8,388	339	7.60%	1.40%	6.80%	1.30%	6.20%	1.10%
Lakewood	1,885	140	10.80%	3.40%	6.20%	3.10%	2.90%	1.20%
Leonidas	7,228	566	6.20%	1.90%	4.60%	1.90%	4.50%	1.80%
Little Woods	38,558	1,962	6.20%	1.10%	8.40%	1.20%	7.00%	1.10%
Lower Garden District	6,153	466	3.70%	1.30%	2.10%	1.00%	1.50%	0.80%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population		4 years old and under		5-9 years old		10-14 years old	
	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE
Lower Ninth Ward	4,074	432	6.80%	2.20%	6.60%	2.70%	5.20%	2.00%
Marigny	3,017	405	1.80%	0.50%	3.10%	2.00%	1.70%	1.80%
Marlyville/ Fontainebleau	6,269	403	4.60%	1.20%	4.70%	1.30%	6.70%	2.00%
McDonogh	2,563	420	5.30%	4.70%	6.00%	3.00%	8.50%	4.40%
Mid-City	14,059	659	2.80%	1.00%	2.20%	0.90%	2.50%	1.00%
Milan	6,302	637	3.80%	1.60%	6.70%	2.80%	3.90%	1.70%
Milneburg	4,885	448	2.80%	1.50%	7.80%	2.70%	5.70%	2.20%
Navarre	2,738	222	7.60%	2.60%	3.50%	2.00%	3.40%	2.10%
New Aurora/ English Turn	6,684	875	5.30%	2.10%	5.70%	2.30%	8.80%	2.80%
Old Aurora	17,516	1,128	5.90%	1.70%	6.60%	1.70%	4.90%	1.20%
Pines Village	3,555	533	4.60%	2.50%	9.10%	3.50%	9.30%	4.80%
Plum Orchard	4,679	612	3.40%	1.90%	9.10%	4.00%	8.40%	2.90%
Pontchartrain Park	2,011	277	3.60%	2.70%	8.80%	4.90%	6.30%	3.20%
Read Blvd East	8,709	728	3.70%	1.70%	5.00%	1.80%	7.30%	2.50%
Read Blvd West	5,083	616	4.10%	2.30%	5.60%	2.40%	5.40%	2.20%
Seventh Ward	11,120	761	4.90%	1.70%	5.40%	1.50%	5.80%	1.40%
St. Anthony	5,069	500	7.80%	2.70%	6.80%	2.90%	10.80%	3.30%
St. Bernard Area	2,664	320	6.50%	3.30%	9.20%	3.60%	10.50%	3.90%
St. Claude	7,426	533	4.20%	1.50%	4.80%	1.80%	2.80%	1.20%
St. Roch	8,148	641	6.00%	1.50%	5.70%	1.50%	9.70%	2.20%
St. Thomas Development	2,369	551	4.00%	3.10%	5.80%	4.50%	10.30%	7.70%
Tall Timbers/ Brechtel	15,531	1,196	12.00%	2.80%	5.00%	1.50%	7.60%	2.00%
Touro	2,765	386	2.90%	1.50%	4.60%	2.50%	2.50%	1.90%
Treme'/Lafitte	4,590	570	6.70%	3.30%	4.10%	2.70%	2.50%	1.40%
Tulane/Gravier	4,057	604	4.10%	2.40%	7.30%	2.70%	6.00%	2.70%
U.S. Naval Support Area	2,242	316	8.40%	3.10%	5.50%	2.10%	6.60%	2.80%
Uptown	6,077	402	6.40%	1.60%	3.20%	1.30%	3.40%	1.60%
Viavant/Venetian Isles	725	187	10.10%	8.30%	2.30%	3.80%	8.80%	6.70%
Village de l'est	9,297	870	10.00%	2.50%	8.20%	2.00%	7.90%	1.80%
West End	3,931	263	7.20%	2.10%	8.20%	2.50%	3.60%	1.80%
West Lake Forest	4,740	494	7.20%	2.60%	7.10%	2.60%	8.00%	2.60%
West Riverside	5,147	380	5.50%	1.50%	3.70%	1.40%	3.90%	1.50%
Whitney	1,937	311	8.30%	6.30%	5.30%	3.00%	1.80%	1.80%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population		15-17 years old		18-34 years old		35-49 years old	
	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015-2019	MOE
Algiers Point	2,584	276	1.90%	3.10%	19.60%	4.30%	23.30%	4.70%
Audubon	16,228	652	2.80%	0.60%	47.40%	2.90%	12.50%	1.40%
B.W. Cooper	1,265	266	5.20%	3.50%	27.70%	6.70%	8.40%	4.30%
Bayou St. John	3,934	388	2.00%	1.50%	32.30%	5.30%	26.10%	3.60%
Behrman	7,328	776	1.80%	1.10%	25.20%	3.90%	18.30%	3.30%
Black Pearl	1,810	174	2.70%	1.30%	28.30%	6.30%	19.90%	5.30%
Broadmoor	7,277	585	3.70%	1.50%	27.60%	2.90%	23.60%	2.90%
Bywater	3,541	328	0.90%	0.70%	24.80%	4.20%	29.00%	3.90%
Central Business District	3,074	326	0.40%	0.60%	26.20%	4.60%	24.30%	5.60%
Central City	13,565	914	3.20%	1.20%	25.50%	2.60%	18.40%	2.30%
City Park	2,746	226	3.00%	1.80%	19.60%	4.70%	25.60%	5.10%
Desire Dev & Neighborhood	2,427	574	6.30%	3.60%	24.00%	5.20%	19.40%	4.40%
Dillard	5,092	530	1.10%	1.10%	25.70%	4.30%	17.20%	3.60%
Dixon	1,466	246	3.30%	3.00%	20.90%	6.20%	15.20%	5.20%
East Carrollton	4,099	328	2.50%	1.60%	29.10%	4.50%	23.50%	4.30%
East Riverside	2,952	323	0.90%	1.10%	24.20%	4.50%	26.00%	3.60%
Fairgrounds	5,362	539	1.10%	1.00%	25.60%	5.00%	20.50%	3.60%
Filmore	5,490	418	2.90%	1.50%	15.80%	2.90%	23.10%	3.80%
Fischer Development	953	199	3.60%	2.20%	22.90%	11.10%	16.80%	6.00%
Florida Area	1,401	221	6.20%	4.00%	20.70%	6.80%	20.30%	5.40%
Florida Development	-	13	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
French Quarter	3,198	410	0.10%	0.70%	13.50%	3.50%	22.80%	5.80%
Freret	2,026	191	1.60%	2.60%	33.70%	6.70%	16.80%	5.90%
Garden District	1,829	232	3.20%	1.90%	27.20%	9.10%	15.40%	4.20%
Gentilly Terrace	10,695	743	3.70%	1.30%	21.50%	3.00%	21.60%	2.40%
Gentilly Woods	3,185	477	2.90%	1.80%	31.00%	6.70%	11.60%	2.90%
Gert Town	5,117	576	3.10%	1.40%	50.10%	4.70%	13.00%	2.70%
Hollygrove	6,402	656	3.10%	1.60%	24.30%	4.10%	18.40%	3.60%
Holy Cross	3,401	403	0.60%	1.10%	24.40%	4.90%	21.50%	4.10%
Iberville Development	389	72	8.00%	12.70%	8.70%	12.00%	16.70%	15.30%
Irish Channel	3,275	312	0.50%	0.90%	34.90%	6.60%	23.70%	4.40%
Lake Catherine	854	149	0.10%	1.70%	10.50%	5.10%	14.10%	4.70%
Lake Terrace & Oaks	2,143	181	1.60%	1.10%	25.20%	6.30%	12.80%	2.90%
Lakeshore/Lake Vista	3,546	356	3.30%	1.50%	13.10%	4.10%	15.80%	3.90%
Lakeview	8,388	339	3.60%	0.90%	23.70%	2.40%	21.10%	1.80%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population		15-17 years old		18-34 years old		35-49 years old	
	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015-2019	MOE
Lakewood	1,885	140	4.90%	2.00%	14.10%	4.00%	20.20%	3.70%
Leonidas	7,228	566	2.40%	1.10%	27.70%	3.60%	19.00%	2.60%
Little Woods	38,558	1,962	5.20%	1.00%	24.30%	1.80%	16.70%	1.40%
Lower Garden District	6,153	466	1.10%	0.80%	39.90%	4.30%	20.30%	3.00%
Lower Ninth Ward	4,074	432	3.20%	1.90%	20.10%	4.00%	13.60%	2.80%
Marigny	3,017	405	2.50%	3.20%	19.30%	4.50%	25.30%	5.50%
Marlyville/ Fontainebleau	6,269	403	2.80%	1.30%	27.10%	3.30%	23.30%	2.50%
McDonogh	2,563	420	2.00%	1.60%	23.30%	5.50%	23.80%	4.40%
Mid-City	14,059	659	1.70%	1.10%	41.50%	3.00%	22.00%	2.20%
Milan	6,302	637	4.50%	1.80%	29.60%	4.20%	20.10%	2.80%
Milneburg	4,885	448	4.90%	1.90%	25.00%	4.00%	21.50%	3.50%
Navarre	2,738	222	1.90%	1.60%	30.80%	5.80%	25.50%	6.20%
New Aurora/English Turn	6,684	875	4.10%	1.80%	19.00%	3.70%	18.50%	3.60%
Old Aurora	17,516	1,128	3.20%	0.90%	24.70%	2.50%	18.60%	2.10%
Pines Village	3,555	533	4.10%	2.10%	23.80%	5.00%	18.40%	4.80%
Plum Orchard	4,679	612	5.60%	2.60%	22.00%	4.80%	18.10%	4.80%
Pontchartrain Park	2,011	277	5.60%	3.80%	24.50%	7.60%	18.20%	5.30%
Read Blvd East	8,709	728	4.40%	1.80%	23.20%	3.80%	18.20%	2.70%
Read Blvd West	5,083	616	4.60%	2.10%	22.80%	4.90%	22.10%	4.40%
Seventh Ward	11,120	761	3.30%	1.00%	26.30%	3.20%	17.40%	2.20%
St. Anthony	5,069	500	3.30%	1.50%	27.90%	3.70%	18.10%	3.20%
St. Bernard Area	2,664	320	5.50%	3.20%	20.10%	4.30%	15.90%	4.50%
St. Claude	7,426	533	3.20%	1.40%	24.70%	2.90%	20.70%	2.90%
St. Roch	8,148	641	3.10%	1.20%	24.30%	2.60%	20.10%	2.60%
St. Thomas Development	2,369	551	2.20%	3.10%	23.20%	7.20%	16.00%	7.60%
Tall Timbers/ Brechtel	15,531	1,196	3.90%	1.30%	26.70%	3.40%	16.30%	2.50%
Touro	2,765	386	0.00%	0.70%	26.90%	5.60%	24.10%	4.70%
Treme'/Lafitte	4,590	570	2.40%	1.30%	33.00%	4.80%	19.00%	3.10%
Tulane/Gravier	4,057	604	2.10%	1.40%	43.20%	3.70%	18.10%	4.00%
U.S. Naval Support Area	2,242	316	4.50%	2.30%	26.60%	5.10%	15.50%	3.50%
Uptown	6,077	402	1.90%	1.00%	29.10%	4.80%	24.70%	3.10%
Viavant/Venetian Isles	725	187	5.70%	5.00%	14.60%	8.90%	14.20%	7.80%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population		15-17 years old		18-34 years old		35-49 years old	
	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015-2019	MOE
Village de l'est	9,297	870	2.70%	0.90%	22.60%	2.60%	19.00%	2.80%
West End	3,931	263	1.20%	1.20%	29.20%	4.60%	19.90%	3.90%
West Lake Forest	4,740	494	2.00%	1.10%	27.20%	4.20%	18.90%	2.70%
West Riverside	5,147	380	0.80%	1.00%	25.60%	4.50%	24.50%	3.20%
Whitney	1,937	311	3.30%	2.50%	23.50%	5.90%	25.90%	5.80%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population		50-64 years old		65-74 years old		75-84 years old		85 years old and older	
	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE
Algiers Point	2,584	276	26.80%	4.50%	10.20%	3.40%	3.80%	2.70%	1.40%	1.10%
Audubon	16,228	652	13.50%	1.20%	6.60%	0.80%	4.00%	0.60%	1.70%	0.50%
B.W. Cooper	1,265	266	15.90%	4.70%	2.50%	2.50%	0.80%	2.20%	0.00%	1.50%
Bayou St. John	3,934	388	14.40%	2.80%	7.30%	1.90%	1.90%	1.00%	0.90%	0.90%
Behrman	7,328	776	21.50%	2.50%	8.50%	1.80%	3.90%	1.50%	3.10%	1.80%
Black Pearl	1,810	174	16.70%	3.80%	10.60%	2.90%	4.90%	2.10%	8.10%	2.70%
Broadmoor	7,277	585	14.60%	2.10%	8.20%	1.60%	3.50%	1.00%	1.50%	0.80%
Bywater	3,541	328	23.60%	3.30%	9.40%	2.00%	3.20%	1.50%	0.70%	1.00%
Central Business District	3,074	326	28.70%	6.00%	14.30%	3.50%	2.30%	1.60%	0.00%	0.60%
Central City	13,565	914	22.30%	1.80%	9.00%	1.30%	2.70%	0.80%	2.00%	0.80%
City Park	2,746	226	24.80%	4.80%	11.00%	3.20%	5.20%	2.40%	0.90%	1.00%
Desire Dev & Neighborhood	2,427	574	13.60%	2.20%	6.60%	2.10%	2.40%	1.30%	0.50%	0.50%
Dillard	5,092	530	17.90%	3.20%	13.20%	3.00%	7.90%	2.00%	3.40%	1.30%
Dixon	1,466	246	20.40%	4.60%	11.10%	3.60%	3.50%	2.10%	3.60%	3.00%
East Carrollton	4,099	328	20.10%	4.70%	7.90%	2.60%	2.40%	1.60%	0.80%	0.80%
East Riverside	2,952	323	21.40%	5.00%	10.00%	2.30%	2.10%	1.10%	0.60%	0.60%
Fairgrounds	5,362	539	23.40%	4.10%	12.10%	2.80%	5.00%	1.80%	4.80%	1.60%
Filmore	5,490	418	18.20%	3.70%	12.90%	2.70%	4.70%	1.60%	1.70%	0.80%
Fischer Development	953	199	16.60%	8.40%	13.90%	7.10%	2.30%	5.70%	2.40%	2.50%
Florida Area	1,401	221	20.10%	4.70%	11.10%	3.10%	4.00%	2.20%	2.50%	1.80%
Florida Development	-	13	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
French Quarter	3,198	410	24.60%	3.60%	23.40%	3.70%	11.20%	2.80%	1.40%	1.00%
Freret	2,026	191	25.20%	7.00%	3.90%	2.50%	2.40%	2.00%	1.20%	1.20%
Garden District	1,829	232	21.20%	5.20%	11.80%	3.30%	8.50%	3.60%	1.40%	1.40%
Gentilly Terrace	10,695	743	20.10%	2.20%	10.40%	1.40%	2.50%	0.70%	1.30%	0.60%
Gentilly Woods	3,185	477	17.10%	3.70%	10.10%	2.70%	4.00%	1.80%	0.30%	0.60%
Gert Town	5,117	576	11.20%	2.20%	5.00%	1.30%	1.40%	0.80%	0.50%	0.40%
Hollygrove	6,402	656	18.80%	3.40%	10.30%	2.20%	3.50%	1.40%	1.90%	1.00%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population		50-64 years old		65-74 years old		75-84 years old		85 years old and older	
	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE
Holy Cross	3,401	403	21.00%	3.80%	9.60%	1.90%	2.70%	1.20%	1.40%	1.10%
Iberville										
Development	389	72	19.80%	9.80%	0.50%	7.50%	0.50%	4.80%	0.30%	3.40%
Irish Channel	3,275	312	17.70%	3.90%	9.70%	3.00%	5.00%	2.80%	1.00%	0.90%
Lake Catherine	854	149	27.50%	7.00%	23.70%	5.80%	12.20%	5.80%	0.70%	1.80%
Lake Terrace & Oaks	2,143	181	24.40%	3.70%	12.00%	2.60%	4.20%	1.70%	4.20%	2.00%
Lakeshore/Lake										
Vista	3,546	356	28.60%	3.70%	11.60%	3.10%	6.50%	2.40%	4.50%	1.90%
Lakeview	8,388	339	18.70%	1.70%	8.10%	1.30%	1.70%	0.60%	2.40%	0.80%
Lakewood	1,885	140	23.10%	4.30%	12.00%	2.80%	5.30%	2.00%	0.60%	0.50%
Leonidas	7,228	566	21.10%	3.00%	8.00%	1.50%	4.50%	1.60%	1.90%	0.80%
Little Woods	38,558	1,962	19.20%	1.40%	8.20%	0.80%	3.50%	0.70%	1.30%	0.40%
Lower Garden										
District	6,153	466	20.90%	3.20%	6.50%	1.90%	3.20%	1.30%	0.70%	0.60%
Lower Ninth Ward	4,074	432	29.10%	3.40%	9.60%	2.70%	3.90%	1.40%	1.70%	1.20%
Marigny	3,017	405	25.10%	3.30%	13.20%	3.10%	5.80%	2.00%	2.20%	1.30%
Marlyville/ Fontainebleau	6,269	403	19.00%	2.10%	7.10%	1.30%	2.90%	0.90%	1.80%	0.70%
McDonogh	2,563	420	20.10%	3.10%	7.80%	2.40%	2.50%	1.90%	0.80%	0.90%
Mid-City	14,059	659	18.00%	2.10%	6.40%	1.20%	2.00%	0.80%	1.10%	0.60%
Milan	6,302	637	19.00%	2.50%	7.30%	2.00%	3.50%	1.30%	1.60%	1.00%
Milneburg	4,885	448	17.50%	2.50%	10.10%	1.80%	3.80%	1.20%	0.90%	0.60%
Navarre	2,738	222	17.90%	4.40%	5.80%	2.80%	2.70%	1.30%	0.90%	1.00%
New Aurora/English										
Turn	6,684	875	21.70%	2.60%	9.00%	1.70%	5.00%	1.50%	2.90%	1.20%
Old Aurora	17,516	1,128	20.40%	1.90%	9.30%	1.50%	5.10%	1.10%	1.40%	0.60%
Pines Village	3,555	533	18.00%	4.00%	7.10%	2.10%	4.60%	2.10%	1.10%	1.00%
Plum Orchard	4,679	612	21.50%	4.30%	5.10%	1.60%	5.80%	2.00%	1.10%	1.00%
Pontchartrain Park	2,011	277	14.10%	4.00%	11.20%	3.80%	2.40%	1.30%	5.30%	2.40%
Read Blvd East	8,709	728	24.50%	2.20%	9.30%	1.70%	2.30%	0.80%	2.30%	0.90%
Read Blvd West	5,083	616	24.00%	3.30%	7.70%	2.00%	2.60%	1.30%	1.20%	1.20%
Seventh Ward	11,120	761	21.30%	1.90%	8.70%	1.50%	4.70%	1.00%	2.10%	0.80%
St. Anthony	5,069	500	16.00%	2.50%	7.00%	1.70%	2.00%	1.00%	0.40%	0.60%
St. Bernard Area	2,664	320	16.90%	4.00%	7.40%	2.20%	5.20%	1.80%	2.90%	1.50%
St. Claude	7,426	533	23.00%	2.60%	10.70%	2.10%	4.00%	1.20%	1.90%	0.80%
St. Roch	8,148	641	17.10%	2.00%	8.40%	1.30%	3.90%	1.20%	1.70%	0.70%
St. Thomas										
Development	2,369	551	22.20%	6.70%	13.00%	7.00%	2.50%	2.40%	1.00%	1.30%
Tall Timbers/ Brechtel	15,531	1,196	15.60%	1.80%	7.50%	1.30%	3.70%	1.10%	1.60%	0.60%
Touro	2,765	386	22.20%	6.30%	10.60%	3.30%	5.40%	3.10%	0.90%	1.00%
Treme'/Lafitte	4,590	570	18.70%	2.80%	8.80%	1.90%	3.40%	1.30%	1.30%	0.90%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population		50-64 years old		65-74 years old		75-84 years old		85 years old and older	
	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE	2015- 2019	MOE
Tulane/Gravier	4,057	604	15.60%	3.30%	1.90%	1.10%	1.80%	1.10%	0.00%	0.60%
U.S. Naval Support Area	2,242	316	18.00%	3.40%	10.30%	3.30%	2.00%	1.00%	2.60%	2.20%
Uptown	6,077	402	15.70%	3.20%	8.60%	1.60%	4.70%	1.40%	2.30%	1.50%
Viavant/Venetian Isles	725	187	15.00%	7.60%	7.00%	6.70%	13.70%	6.50%	8.60%	12.50%
Village de l'est	9,297	870	19.20%	1.70%	6.00%	1.20%	3.40%	1.00%	1.10%	0.70%
West End	3,931	263	16.10%	3.40%	8.30%	2.40%	5.80%	1.90%	0.40%	0.60%
West Lake Forest	4,740	494	16.80%	2.50%	8.80%	1.50%	2.80%	1.20%	1.10%	1.00%
West Riverside	5,147	380	20.10%	3.20%	7.80%	1.90%	4.30%	1.70%	3.80%	2.30%
Whitney	1,937	311	20.30%	3.50%	8.20%	2.90%	2.80%	2.20%	0.70%	0.90%

Appendix 2 - Stop Results by Race, Sex, and Age Category

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Citation	%	Verbal Warning	%	Physical Arrest	%
Black	Male	≤12	156	0.9%	3	2%	32	21%	9	6%
Black	Male	13-17	550	3.1%	16	3%	177	32%	129	23%
Black	Male	18-24	1,624	9.1%	264	16%	451	28%	331	20%
Black	Male	25-34	2,483	13.9%	508	20%	746	30%	512	21%
Black	Male	35-64	3,604	20.2%	700	19%	1154	32%	692	19%
Black	Male	65+	257	1.4%	51	20%	111	43%	26	10%
Black	Female	≤12	113	0.6%	2	2%	17	15%	2	2%
Black	Female	13-17	198	1.1%	11	6%	87	44%	26	13%
Black	Female	18-24	948	5.3%	175	18%	291	31%	99	10%
Black	Female	25-34	1,406	7.9%	345	25%	420	30%	204	15%
Black	Female	35-64	1,328	7.4%	266	20%	474	36%	178	13%
Black	Female	65+	70	0.4%	10	14%	30	43%	4	6%
White	Male	≤12	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	13-17	63	0.4%	6	10%	40	63%	3	5%
White	Male	18-24	406	2.3%	83	20%	143	35%	38	9%
White	Male	25-34	872	4.9%	136	16%	314	36%	143	16%
White	Male	35-64	1,414	7.9%	230	16%	481	34%	210	15%
White	Male	65+	138	0.8%	44	32%	65	47%	2	1%
White	Female	≤12	10	0.1%	0	0%	1	10%	0	0%
White	Female	13-17	23	0.1%	1	4%	8	35%	4	17%
White	Female	18-24	222	1.2%	37	17%	100	45%	17	8%
White	Female	25-34	414	2.3%	77	19%	156	38%	44	11%
White	Female	35-64	557	3.1%	110	20%	237	43%	52	9%
White	Female	65+	62	0.3%	12	19%	31	50%	1	2%
Hispanic	Male	≤12	8	0.0%	0	0%	3	38%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	13-17	20	0.1%	4	20%	6	30%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	18-24	118	0.7%	27	23%	32	27%	19	16%
Hispanic	Male	25-34	144	0.8%	35	24%	55	38%	22	15%
Hispanic	Male	35-64	190	1.1%	44	23%	63	33%	37	19%
Hispanic	Male	65+	13	0.1%	4	31%	4	31%	2	15%
Hispanic	Female	≤12	4	0.0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	13-17	8	0.0%	1	13%	3	38%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	18-24	36	0.2%	10	28%	10	28%	1	3%
Hispanic	Female	25-34	40	0.2%	10	25%	15	38%	5	13%
Hispanic	Female	35-64	44	0.2%	14	32%	18	41%	2	5%
Hispanic	Female	65+	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%
Asian	Male	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Male	13-17	3	0.0%	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Citation	%	Verbal Warning	%	Physical Arrest	%
Asian	Male	18-24	11	0.1%	3	27%	3	27%	1	9%
Asian	Male	25-34	17	0.1%	4	24%	5	29%	3	18%
Asian	Male	35-64	44	0.2%	16	36%	16	36%	5	11%
Asian	Male	65+	9	0.1%	2	22%	4	44%	0	0%
Asian	Female	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%
Asian	Female	18-24	6	0.0%	0	0%	1	17%	3	50%
Asian	Female	25-34	9	0.1%	2	22%	5	56%	0	0%
Asian	Female	35-64	15	0.1%	5	33%	7	47%	0	0%
Asian	Female	65+	3	0.0%	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	2	0.0%	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	5	0.0%	3	60%	1	20%	1	20%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Male	≤12	7	0.0%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	18-24	23	0.1%	9	39%	6	26%	3	13%
Unknown	Male	25-34	56	0.3%	22	39%	19	34%	3	5%
Unknown	Male	35-64	37	0.2%	18	49%	12	32%	2	5%
Unknown	Male	65+	11	0.1%	3	27%	2	18%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	≤12	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Citation	%	Verbal Warning	%	Physical Arrest	%
Unknown	Female	18-24	5	0.0%	1	20%	3	60%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	25-34	10	0.1%	3	30%	4	40%	1	10%
Unknown	Female	35-64	13	0.1%	6	46%	6	46%	1	8%
Unknown	Female	65+	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	No Action Taken	%	Summons Issued	%	L.E.A.D.	%
Black	Male	≤12	156	0.9%	110	71%	3	2%	0	0%
Black	Male	13-17	550	3.1%	225	41%	5	1%	0	0%
Black	Male	18-24	1,624	9.1%	410	25%	237	15%	0	0%
Black	Male	25-34	2,483	13.9%	553	22%	278	11%	2	0%
Black	Male	35-64	3,604	20.2%	740	21%	449	12%	0	0%
Black	Male	65+	257	1.4%	45	18%	26	10%	1	0%
Black	Female	≤12	113	0.6%	92	81%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	13-17	198	1.1%	74	37%	4	2%	0	0%
Black	Female	18-24	948	5.3%	261	28%	149	16%	1	0%
Black	Female	25-34	1,406	7.9%	302	21%	177	13%	1	0%
Black	Female	35-64	1,328	7.4%	286	22%	150	11%	0	0%
Black	Female	65+	70	0.4%	23	33%	3	4%	0	0%
White	Male	≤12	7	0.0%	7	100%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	13-17	63	0.4%	12	19%	3	5%	0	0%
White	Male	18-24	406	2.3%	96	24%	55	14%	0	0%
White	Male	25-34	872	4.9%	176	20%	110	13%	4	0%
White	Male	35-64	1,414	7.9%	297	21%	218	15%	1	0%
White	Male	65+	138	0.8%	16	12%	11	8%	0	0%
White	Female	≤12	10	0.1%	9	90%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	13-17	23	0.1%	9	39%	1	4%	0	0%
White	Female	18-24	222	1.2%	52	23%	18	8%	0	0%
White	Female	25-34	414	2.3%	101	24%	38	9%	2	0%
White	Female	35-64	557	3.1%	102	18%	63	11%	0	0%
White	Female	65+	62	0.3%	14	23%	4	6%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	≤12	8	0.0%	5	63%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	13-17	20	0.1%	10	50%	1	5%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	18-24	118	0.7%	27	23%	16	14%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	25-34	144	0.8%	26	18%	12	8%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	35-64	190	1.1%	33	17%	15	8%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	65+	13	0.1%	1	8%	3	23%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	≤12	4	0.0%	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	No Action Taken	%	Summons Issued	%	L.E.A.D.	%
Hispanic	Female	13-17	8	0.0%	4	50%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	18-24	36	0.2%	12	33%	3	8%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	25-34	40	0.2%	9	23%	1	3%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	35-64	44	0.2%	8	18%	2	5%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	65+	4	0.0%	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Male	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%
Asian	Male	18-24	11	0.1%	2	18%	3	27%	0	0%
Asian	Male	25-34	17	0.1%	3	18%	2	12%	0	0%
Asian	Male	35-64	44	0.2%	6	14%	2	5%	0	0%
Asian	Male	65+	9	0.1%	3	33%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	18-24	6	0.0%	1	17%	2	33%	0	0%
Asian	Female	25-34	9	0.1%	2	22%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	35-64	15	0.1%	2	13%	1	7%	0	0%
Asian	Female	65+	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	5	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	1	0.0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Male	≤12	7	0.0%	6	86%	0	0%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	No Action Taken	%	Summons Issued	%	L.E.A.D.	%
Unknown	Male	13-17	1	0.0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	18-24	23	0.1%	4	17%	1	4%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	25-34	56	0.3%	7	13%	5	9%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	35-64	37	0.2%	1	3%	4	11%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	65+	11	0.1%	5	45%	1	9%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	≤12	3	0.0%	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	5	0.0%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	25-34	10	0.1%	1	10%	1	10%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	35-64	13	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	65+	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Appendix 3 – Search Types by Race, Sex, and Age

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Pat Down	%	Asked To Consent Search	%	Person Search	%
Black	Male	≤12	156	0.9%	5	3%	0	0%	9	6%
Black	Male	13-17	550	3.1%	132	24%	0	0%	179	33%
Black	Male	18-24	1,624	9.1%	219	13%	1	0%	535	33%
Black	Male	25-34	2,483	13.9%	233	9%	2	0%	762	31%
Black	Male	35-64	3,604	20.2%	314	9%	7	0%	998	28%
Black	Male	65+	257	1.4%	8	3%	0	0%	36	14%
Black	Female	≤12	113	0.6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	13-17	198	1.1%	2	1%	1	1%	30	15%
Black	Female	18-24	948	5.3%	28	3%	0	0%	144	15%
Black	Female	25-34	1,406	7.9%	32	2%	0	0%	227	16%
Black	Female	35-64	1,328	7.4%	26	2%	0	0%	193	15%
Black	Female	65+	70	0.4%	1	1%	0	0%	6	9%
White	Male	≤12	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	13-17	63	0.4%	5	8%	0	0%	7	11%
White	Male	18-24	406	2.3%	23	6%	1	0%	77	19%
White	Male	25-34	872	4.9%	58	7%	1	0%	224	26%
White	Male	35-64	1,414	7.9%	75	5%	2	0%	326	23%
White	Male	65+	138	0.8%	2	1%	0	0%	6	4%
White	Female	≤12	10	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	13-17	23	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	9%
White	Female	18-24	222	1.2%	2	1%	0	0%	21	9%
White	Female	25-34	414	2.3%	6	1%	0	0%	60	14%
White	Female	35-64	557	3.1%	9	2%	1	0%	66	12%
White	Female	65+	62	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%
Hispanic	Male	≤12	8	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	13-17	20	0.1%	3	15%	0	0%	1	5%
Hispanic	Male	18-24	118	0.7%	19	16%	0	0%	30	25%
Hispanic	Male	25-34	144	0.8%	13	9%	0	0%	23	16%
Hispanic	Male	35-64	190	1.1%	8	4%	0	0%	45	24%
Hispanic	Male	65+	13	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	15%
Hispanic	Female	≤12	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	13-17	8	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	13%
Hispanic	Female	18-24	36	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%
Hispanic	Female	25-34	40	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	6	15%
Hispanic	Female	35-64	44	0.2%	0	0%	1	2%	2	5%
Hispanic	Female	65+	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Male	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	67%
Asian	Male	18-24	11	0.1%	1	9%	0	0%	1	9%
Asian	Male	25-34	17	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	3	18%
Asian	Male	35-64	44	0.2%	1	2%	0	0%	6	14%
Asian	Male	65+	9	0.1%	2	22%	0	0%	1	11%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Pat Down	%	Asked To Consent Search	%	Person Search	%
Asian	Female	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	18-24	6	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	67%
Asian	Female	25-34	9	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	35-64	15	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	65+	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	5	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	20%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Male	≤12	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	18-24	23	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	6	26%
Unknown	Male	25-34	56	0.3%	3	5%	0	0%	4	7%
Unknown	Male	35-64	37	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	4	11%
Unknown	Male	65+	11	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	≤12	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	5	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	25-34	10	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	20%
Unknown	Female	35-64	13	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	65+	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Consent	%	Search Basis Warrant	%	Search Basis Inventory	%
Black	Male	≤12	156	0.9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Male	13-17	550	3.1%	2	0%	4	1%	2	0%
Black	Male	18-24	1,624	9.1%	2	0%	24	1%	1	0%
Black	Male	25-34	2,483	13.9%	4	0%	35	1%	3	0%
Black	Male	35-64	3,604	20.2%	8	0%	48	1%	4	0%
Black	Male	65+	257	1.4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	≤12	113	0.6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	13-17	198	1.1%	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%
Black	Female	18-24	948	5.3%	0	0%	8	1%	0	0%
Black	Female	25-34	1,406	7.9%	1	0%	11	1%	1	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Consent	%	Search Basis Warrant	%	Search Basis Inventory	%
Black	Female	35-64	1,328	7.4%	2	0%	5	0%	1	0%
Black	Female	65+	70	0.4%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
White	Male	≤12	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	13-17	63	0.4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	18-24	406	2.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	25-34	872	4.9%	2	0%	8	1%	2	0%
White	Male	35-64	1,414	7.9%	5	0%	12	1%	2	0%
White	Male	65+	138	0.8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	≤12	10	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	13-17	23	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	18-24	222	1.2%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%
White	Female	25-34	414	2.3%	1	0%	2	0%	0	0%
White	Female	35-64	557	3.1%	1	0%	3	1%	1	0%
White	Female	65+	62	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	≤12	8	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	13-17	20	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	18-24	118	0.7%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%
Hispanic	Male	25-34	144	0.8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	35-64	190	1.1%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	65+	13	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	≤12	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	13-17	8	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	18-24	36	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	25-34	40	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	35-64	44	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	65+	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Male	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	18-24	11	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	25-34	17	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	35-64	44	0.2%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%
Asian	Male	65+	9	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	18-24	6	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	25-34	9	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	35-64	15	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	65+	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Consent	%	Search Basis Warrant	%	Search Basis Inventory	%
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	5	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Male	≤12	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	18-24	23	0.1%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%
Unknown	Male	25-34	56	0.3%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	35-64	37	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	65+	11	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	≤12	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	5	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	25-34	10	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	35-64	13	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	65+	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis ITA	%	Search Basis Plain View	%	Search Basis Exig Cir	%
Black	Male	≤12	156	0.9%	8	5%	1	1%	0	0%
Black	Male	13-17	550	3.1%	151	27%	7	1%	9	2%
Black	Male	18-24	1,624	9.1%	438	27%	41	3%	19	1%
Black	Male	25-34	2,483	13.9%	649	26%	50	2%	32	1%
Black	Male	35-64	3,604	20.2%	898	25%	18	0%	40	1%
Black	Male	65+	257	1.4%	33	13%	0	0%	2	1%
Black	Female	≤12	113	0.6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	13-17	198	1.1%	25	13%	1	1%	2	1%
Black	Female	18-24	948	5.3%	122	13%	7	1%	3	0%
Black	Female	25-34	1,406	7.9%	208	15%	7	0%	1	0%
Black	Female	35-64	1,328	7.4%	184	14%	3	0%	4	0%
Black	Female	65+	70	0.4%	4	6%	0	0%	1	1%
White	Male	≤12	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	13-17	63	0.4%	7	11%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	18-24	406	2.3%	64	16%	6	1%	3	1%
White	Male	25-34	872	4.9%	201	23%	5	1%	14	2%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis ITA	%	Search Basis Plain View	%	Search Basis Exig Cir	%
White	Male	35-64	1,414	7.9%	283	20%	9	1%	28	2%
White	Male	65+	138	0.8%	6	4%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	≤12	10	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	13-17	23	0.1%	2	9%	0	0%	1	4%
White	Female	18-24	222	1.2%	19	9%	1	0%	2	1%
White	Female	25-34	414	2.3%	54	13%	2	0%	3	1%
White	Female	35-64	557	3.1%	52	9%	3	1%	9	2%
White	Female	65+	62	0.3%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	≤12	8	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	13-17	20	0.1%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	18-24	118	0.7%	25	21%	1	1%	2	2%
Hispanic	Male	25-34	144	0.8%	22	15%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	35-64	190	1.1%	42	22%	0	0%	1	1%
Hispanic	Male	65+	13	0.1%	2	15%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	≤12	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	13-17	8	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	18-24	36	0.2%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	25-34	40	0.2%	4	10%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	35-64	44	0.2%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	65+	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Male	13-17	3	0.0%	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%
Asian	Male	18-24	11	0.1%	1	9%	1	9%	0	0%
Asian	Male	25-34	17	0.1%	3	18%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	35-64	44	0.2%	5	11%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	65+	9	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%
Asian	Female	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	18-24	6	0.0%	4	67%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	25-34	9	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	35-64	15	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	65+	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	2	0.0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	5	0.0%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis ITA	%	Search Basis Plain View	%	Search Basis Exig Cir	%
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Male	≤12	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	18-24	23	0.1%	4	17%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	25-34	56	0.3%	2	4%	0	0%	1	2%
Unknown	Male	35-64	37	0.2%	3	8%	0	0%	1	3%
Unknown	Male	65+	11	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	≤12	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	5	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	25-34	10	0.1%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	35-64	13	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	65+	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Veh Exc	%	Search Basis Plain Smell	%	Strip Cavity Requested	%
Black	Male	≤12	156	0.9%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%
Black	Male	13-17	550	3.1%	7	1%	11	2%	0	0%
Black	Male	18-24	1,624	9.1%	13	1%	88	5%	1	0%
Black	Male	25-34	2,483	13.9%	12	0%	88	4%	0	0%
Black	Male	35-64	3,604	20.2%	10	0%	34	1%	0	0%
Black	Male	65+	257	1.4%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	≤12	113	0.6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	13-17	198	1.1%	3	2%	2	1%	0	0%
Black	Female	18-24	948	5.3%	4	0%	16	2%	0	0%
Black	Female	25-34	1,406	7.9%	1	0%	13	1%	0	0%
Black	Female	35-64	1,328	7.4%	4	0%	4	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	65+	70	0.4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	≤12	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	13-17	63	0.4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	18-24	406	2.3%	0	0%	9	2%	0	0%
White	Male	25-34	872	4.9%	3	0%	4	0%	0	0%
White	Male	35-64	1,414	7.9%	7	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	65+	138	0.8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	≤12	10	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Veh Exc	%	Search Basis Plain Smell	%	Strip Cavity Requested	%
White	Female	13-17	23	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	18-24	222	1.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	25-34	414	2.3%	2	0%	1	0%	0	0%
White	Female	35-64	557	3.1%	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%
White	Female	65+	62	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	≤12	8	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	13-17	20	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	18-24	118	0.7%	0	0%	3	3%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	25-34	144	0.8%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	35-64	190	1.1%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%
Hispanic	Male	65+	13	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	≤12	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	13-17	8	0.0%	0	0%	1	13%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	18-24	36	0.2%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	25-34	40	0.2%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	35-64	44	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic	Female	65+	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Male	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%
Asian	Male	18-24	11	0.1%	0	0%	1	9%	0	0%
Asian	Male	25-34	17	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	35-64	44	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	65+	9	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	18-24	6	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	25-34	9	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	35-64	15	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	65+	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	5	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Veh Exc	%	Search Basis Plain Smell	%	Strip Cavity Requested	%
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Male	≤12	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	18-24	23	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	25-34	56	0.3%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	35-64	37	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	65+	11	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	≤12	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	5	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	25-34	10	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	35-64	13	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	65+	-	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-